Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

► The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements.

OMB No 1545-0047 Open to Public Inspection

A	For the	2010 calendar year, or tax year beginning $JUL~1$, 2010 and ending	JUN 30, 2011	
В	Check If applicable	C Name of organization	D Employer identific	cation number
	Addres:	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE		
	Name change	Doing Business As		744337
	initial retum Termin- ated	Number and street (or P 0 box if mail is not delivered to street address) Room/su 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 900		682-9320
	Amendo return	City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4	G Gross receipts \$	19,506,903.
	Application	AKIIINGION, VA 22205	H(a) Is this a group re	eturn
	pending	F Name and address of principal officer: WILLIAM H. MELLOR	for affiliates?	Yes X No
_		SAME AS C ABOVE	H(b) Are all affiliates inc	luded? Yes No
		_ ````````````````````````````````````	If "No," attach a	list. (see instructions)
		e:▶ WWW.IJ.ORG	H(c) Group exemptio	
			ear of formation 1991 N	State of legal domicile DC
P		Summary		
Governance		Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: $\frac{TO\ PROTE}{TO\ PROTE}$	CT THE CONSTI	TUTIONAL
Ĭ.	2 (Check this box Fig. 1 if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of m	ore than 25% of its net as	ssets.
Š.	3 1	Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)	3	10
<u>ග</u> න	4 1	lumber of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 15)	4	9
es		otal number of individuals employed in calendar year 2010 (Part V. line 24)	5	85
ķ	6 7	otal number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)	> 6	25
Activities &	7a 7	otal unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12	7a	0.
2 Aci	bl	otal number of volunteers (estimate if necessary) otal unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34	7b	0.
	1	September 1 and 1	Prior Year	Current Year
<u> </u>	8 (contributions and grants (Part VIII, line III)	12,109,095.	18,305,447.
enu	9 1	Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)	820,003.	455,078.
Revenue	10	Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g) nvestment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	49,786.	50,190.
)	11 (Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)	-22,352.	0.
į _	12	otal revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	12,956,532.	18,810,715.
3	13 (Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)	0.	0.
1	14 [Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)	0.	0.
es	15 8	Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)	6,100,673.	6,773,449.
Sue	16a l	Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)	0.	14,568.
Expenses	b -	Fotal fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) 923,562.		
ш	17 (Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24f)	3,205,727.	4,131,802.
	1	Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	9,306,400.	10,919,819.
. 0	19	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12	3,650,132.	7,890,896.
Net Assets or	2		Beginning of Current Year	End of Year
SSet	20	Total assets (Part X, line 16)	20,942,921.	28,922,117.
et A	21	Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)	527,371.	534,917.
		Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20	20,415,550.	28,387,200.
	art II			
		ties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and sta		y knowledge and belief, it is
tru	e, correct	, and complete Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which prep	arer has any knowledge	6
		Signature of officer		20/2
Sig	- F	,	Date	
He	re	WILLIAM H. MELLOR, PRESIDENT Type or print name and title		
			Date Check	PTIN
D-1		Print/Type preparer's name TENNIV E HEDDEDA CDA	ا او دا	' <u> </u>
Pai	ŀ	JENNY E. HERRERA, CPA	1 30 2012 self-employe	
		Firm's name RUBINO & MCGEEHIN, CHARTERED	Firm's EiN	
US	e Only	Firm's address 6903 ROCKLEDGE DRIVE, SUITE 1200		01 564 2626
		BETHESDA, MD 20817 Sidiscuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)	Phone no 3	01-564-3636 X Yes No.
Ms	ו במז עו	> discuss this fature with the exercise shown above? Isse instructions		IAIVOS I NA

					
	-				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• "		
		01.11.0		-	
łd	Other program services. (Describ	e in Schedule O.)			
	(Expenses \$	including grants of \$) (Revenue \$)	

8,883,717.

4e Total program service expenses ▶

Form 990 (2010) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules

			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule A.	1_	X	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors?	2_	X	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for			
	public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		X
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect			
	during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4	X	
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or			
	similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98·197 If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts where donors have the right to			
	provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		X
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space,			
	the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		X
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule D, Part III	8		X
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21; serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X; or provide			
	credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		Х
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in term, permanent, or quasi-endowments?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10		X
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VIII, IX, or X			
	as applicable.			
а	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D,			
	Part VI	11a	X	
b	Did the organization report an amount for investments - other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total			
	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b		X
C	Did the organization report an amount for investments · program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total			
	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		Х
d	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in			,,
	Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d	37	Х
_	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	X	
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses			v
40-	the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f		Х
12a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete	40-	X	
_	Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII	12a	^	
D	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year?	106		х
12	If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI, XII, and XIII is optional	12b 13		X
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?			X
	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business,	14a		
D	and program service activities outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b		х
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any organization	140		
	or entity located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15		х
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals			
	located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16		х
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX,			
	column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I	17		Х
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines			
-	1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		Х
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes,"			
	complete Schedule G, Part III	19		х
20a		20a		X
	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach its audited financial statements to this return? Note. Some Form 990 filers that			
_	operate one or more hospitals must attach audited financial statements (see instructions)	20ь		
			000	2015;

Pai	t IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)	<u> </u>		age 1
			Yes	No
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the			t
	United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		X
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States on Part IX,			
	column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current			
	and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule J	23	X	
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the			
	last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete			,,
	Schedule K. If "No", go to line 25	24a		X
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
С	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease			
	any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a			l v
	disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		<u> X</u>
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and			
	that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete	05.		X
06	Schedule L, Part I	25b		
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highly compensated employee, or disqualified	26		х
27	person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial	26		
21	contributor, or a grant selection committee member, or to a person related to such an individual? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule L, Part III	27		X
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV			
	instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			
а	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a		х
b	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b	X	
c	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer,			
	director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		Х
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29	Х	
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation			
	contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		Х
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31		Х
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule N, Part II	32		X
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations			
	sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33		X
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Parts II, III, IV, and V, line 1	34		X
35	Is any related organization a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35		X
а	Did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of			
	section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2			
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		Х
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization			.,
	and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	37		X
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11 and 19?	1 /		1

Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O

Form 990 (2010) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Part V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance

	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V			
			Yes	No
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable 1a 36			
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable			
С	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming			
	(gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	Х	
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements,			
	filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return 2a 85			
b	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns?	2b	X	
	Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file. (see instructions)			
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	3a		Х
ь	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	3ь		
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a			
	financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account)?	4a		Х
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country: ▶			
	See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22.1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts.			
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a		X
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		Х
С	if "Yes," to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5с		
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the organization solicit			
	any contributions that were not tax deductible?	6a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts			
	were not tax deductible?	6b		
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).			
а	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor?	7a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b		
C	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required			
	to file Form 8282?	7c		X
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year			
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	7e		X
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7 1		X
9	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g		<u> </u>
h	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	7h		ļ
8	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting organizations. Did the supporting			
_	organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring organization, have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8		
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.	_		
a	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a		-
_ b	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9ь		-
10	Section 501 (c)(7) organizations. Enter:			
a	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12 10a			
_ b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities			
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter:			
a	Gross income from members or shareholders 11a			
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against			
10-	amounts due or received from them.) Section 4047(a)(1) non-promote charitable truste le the exceptation films Form 900 in less of Form 10412	100		1
	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	12a		
	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year Section 504(a)(00) qualified account to be a table incompanies to the section of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year			
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.	120		
а	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?	13a		ļ
L	Note. See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.			
D	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans			
_				
	Enter the amount of reserves on hand	14a	-	Х
	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	14a		 ^
D	ii 165, nas it lifed a Form 720 to report these payments. If 170, provide an explanation in Schedule O		000	(0040)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions. XCheck if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VI Section A. Governing Body and Management Yes No 10 1a Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year 1a **b** Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other X officer, director, trustee, or key employee? Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision Х of officers, directors or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? Х Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed? 4 Х 5 Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets? 5 Х 6 Does the organization have members or stockholders? 7a Does the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who may elect one or more members of the Х governing body? 7b **b** Are any decisions of the governing body subject to approval by members, stockholders, or other persons? Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following: a The governing body? 8a X Х 8b **b** Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at the X organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O Section B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue Code.) Yes No 10a Does the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates? 10a b If "Yes," does the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates, 10b Х and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with those of the organization? X 11a Has the organization provided a copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form? 11a b Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990. X 12a Does the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13 12a b Are officers, directors or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise X to conflicts? 12b c Does the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this is done 12c Х 13 Х 13 Does the organization have a written whistleblower policy? X Does the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy? 14 14 15 Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision? X The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official 15a X **b** Other officers or key employees of the organization 15b If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O. (See instructions) 16a Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a X 16a taxable entity during the year? b If "Yes," has the organization adopted a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and taken steps to safeguard the organization's

Se	ction	C.	Dis	cle	กรเ	ıre

exempt status with respect to such arrangements?

List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed AL, AK, AZ, CO, CT, FL, KS, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI 17

18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (501(c)(3)s only) available for
	public inspection. Indicate how you make these available. Check all that apply.
	X Own website Another's website X Upon request

Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization makes its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public.

State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records of the organization: STEVEN ANDERSON - 703-682-9320 901 NORTH GLEBE RD, SUITE 900, ARLINGTON, VA 22203

Part VIII Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated **Employees, and Independent Contractors**

Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VII

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

- 1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year
- List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
 - List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee."
- List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.

Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

- List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order: individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees; officers; key employees; highest compensated employees; and former such persons.

(A)	(B)	Oige 	unze	(C		nper	1341	(D)	(E)	(F)
Name and Title	Average hours per week	H		Pos all t		арр	ly)	Reportable compensation from	Reportable compensation from related	Estimated amount of other
	(describe hours for related organizations in Schedule O)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustae	Officer	Kay employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	compensation from the organization and related organizations
WILLIAM H. MELLOR	40.00	х		х				434,518.	0.	62,598.
PRES. & GENERAL COUNSEL DAVID B. KENNEDY	40.00	^	\vdash	^	-			434,310.		02,330.
DIRECTOR & CHAIRMAN	1.00	Х						o.	0.	0.
ROBERT A. LEVY										
DIRECTOR	1.00	Х						0.	0.	0.
JAMES LINTOTT										
DIRECTOR	1.00	Х			<u> </u>			0.	0.	0.
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM									1	
DIRECTOR	1.00	X						0.	0.	0.
ARTHUR DANTCHIK		į								_
DIRECTOR	1.00	X	L					0.	0.	0.
MARK BABUNOVIC			1							
DIRECTOR (TERM ENDED 11/2010)	1.00	X			_	_		0.	0.	0.
STEPHEN W. MODZELEWSKI	1 100								_	0
DIRECTOR	1.00	X	-	-	_		_	0.	0.	0.
ROBERT GELFOND	1 00	l .						0.	0.	0
DIRECTOR	1.00	X	-	-	-		-	0.		0.
WILLIAM DUNN	1.00	X						0.	0.	0.
DIRECTOR	1.00	^		\vdash	-	\vdash		0.		- 0.
KEN LEVY DIRECTOR(TERM START 02/2011)	1.00	X						0.	0.	0.
DEBORAH SIMPSON	1.00	1		-		-				
MANAGING VP & SECRETARY	40.00	Ì]	X		1	1	178,670.	0.	25,305.
STEVEN ANDERSON	1	\vdash	-	-						
CFO & TREASURER	40.00		ľ	Х				129,674.	0.	23,034.
JOHN KRAMER				_						
VP FOR COMMUNICATIONS	40.00	l	1		Х		ļ	221,872.	0.	44,760.
BETH STEVENS										
VP FOR DEVELOPMENT	40.00				X			156,895.	0.	27,154.
SCOTT BULLOCK		_								
SR. ATTORNEY	40.00				_	X		186,110.	0.	30,991.
DANA BERLINER									_	
SR. ATTORNEY	40.00	<u> </u>	L	L		X	<u> </u>	191,393.	0.	32,071.
032007 12-21-10										Form 990 (2010)

Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Tr (A)	(B)	(C)					(D)	(E)			(F)		
Name and title	Average	ر ا	Position (check all that apply)				.11	Reportable	Reportable			imate	
	hours per week (describe hours for related organizations in Schedule O)	ustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee		compensation from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	compensation from related organizations (W-2/1099-MIS	lated ations co 9-MISC)		ount other oensa om th anizat i relat nizati	ation e tion ted
CLARK NEILY	40.00							171 003		^	2.0		
SR. ATTORNEY STEVEN SIMPSON	40.00	 	├	-	<u> </u>	X	-	171,883.		0.	23	3,3	<u>55.</u>
SR. ATTORNEY	40.00					X		185,248.		0.	37	7.5	59.
JEFFREY ROWES			 									, -	
SR. ATTORNEY	40.00		<u> </u>			Х		141,320.		0.	23	3,5	62.
1b Sub-total								1,997,583.		0.	335	5,3	89.
c Total from continuation sheets to Part V	II, Section A							0.		0.	225		0.
d Total (add lines 1b and 1c) Total number of individuals (including but in			. 114	امام	h a	<u>ا</u>		1,997,583.	000		333	, 3	89.
compensation from the organization	not minited to ti	1056	: 11514	ou a	DUV	e) wi	101	eceived more man \$100	,000 in reportable	6			15
												Yes	No
3 Did the organization list any former officer			e, ke	y em	nplo	yee,	or I	nighest compensated er	nployee on				
line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for a For any individual listed on line 1a, is the s	um of reportab	le c	-					•	the organization	•	3		X
and related organizations greater than \$15											4	X	
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or rendered to the organization? If "Yes," con							relat	ted organization or indivi	dual for services		5		Х
Section B. Independent Contractors	ripiete Scriedui	<u>e</u>	101 5	UCII	per	5011	-				_3		- 11
Complete this table for your five highest or the organization. NONE	ompensated in	dep	ende	ent c	ont	racto	ors t	that received more than	\$100,000 of com	pens	ation fr	om	
(A)								(B)			(C)	
Name and business	s address							Description of s	ervices	<u> </u>	ompen	satio	on
	· · ·										<u> </u>		
											_		
Total number of independent contractors \$100,000 in compensation from the organ		ot li	mite	d to		se li: 0	stec	d above) who received m	ore than				

rai	rt VII	Statement of Rever	nue		(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	(C) Unrelated business revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512, 513, or 514
Contributions, gifts, grants and other similar amounts	b c d e f	Federated campaigns Membership dues Fundraising events Related organizations Government grants (contribut All other contributions, grfts, gran similar amounts not included abo Noncash contributions included in lines Total. Add lines 1a-1f	ts, and ve 1f	18,305,447. 527,642.	18,305,447.			
Program Service Revenue	2 a b c d	ATTORNEY FEES MISCELLANEOUS HONORARIA		Business Code 541100 541900 541900	*****	425,000. 16,078. 14,000.		
Other Revenue		Total. Add lines 2a-2f Investment income (including other similar amounts) Income from investment of tal Royalties	dividends, inter		455,078. 54,026.			54,026.
	6 a b c	Gross Rents Less: rental expenses Rental income or (loss)	(i) Real	(ii) Personal			-	
	7 a b	Net rental income or (loss) Gross amount from sales of assets other than inventory Less: cost or other basis and sales expenses Gain or (loss)	(i) Securities 615750. 615333. 417.	80,855.				
	d 8 a	Net gain or (loss) Gross income from fundraisin including \$ contributions reported on line Part IV, line 18 Less: direct expenses	g events (not	•	-3,836.			-3,836.
Ò	с 9 а	Net income or (loss) from fund Gross income from gaming ad Part IV, line 19	draising events ctivities. See a	>				
	c 10 a	Less: direct expenses Net income or (loss) from gam Gross sales of inventory, less and allowances Less: cost of goods sold		•				
	11 a	Net Income or (loss) from sale Miscellaneous Revenu	es of inventory	Business Code				
	b c d e			•				
	12	Total revenue. See instructions	· · ·	> _	18,810,715.	455,078.	0.	50,190.

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns.

All other organizations must complete column (A) but are not required to complete columns (B), (C), and (D).

(D) Fundraising expenses (A) (C) Do not include amounts reported on lines 6b. Total expenses Program service Management and general expenses 7b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII. expenses Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the U.S. See Part IV, line 21 Grants and other assistance to individuals in the U.S. See Part IV, line 22 Grants and other assistance to governments. organizations, and individuals outside the U.S. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16 Benefits paid to or for members Compensation of current officers, directors, 123,277. 1,342,909. 1,032,396. 187,236. trustees, and key employees Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B) 4,442,388. 3,944,485. 314,694. 183,209. Other salaries and wages Pension plan contributions (include section 401(k) 333,795. 292,197. 30,941. 10,657. and section 403(b) employer contributions) 291,969. 246,717. 28,298. 16,954. q Other employee benefits 362,388. 308,922. 30,726. 22,740. 10 Payroll taxes Fees for services (non-employees): Management 218,849. 198,428. 20,421. **b** Legal 57,972. 57,972 c Accounting Lobbying 14,568. 14,568. Professional fundraising services See Part IV, line 17 Investment management fees 499,397. 56,033. 676,799. 121,369. 30,891. 30,231. 660. 12 Advertising and promotion 1,048,628. 599,622. 152,922. 296,084. 13 Office expenses 22,607. 33,383. 3,980. 6,796. Information technology 14 15 Royalties 1,026,492. 860,297. 95,199. 70,996. 16 Occupancy 454,167. 435,668. 11,509. 6,990. 17 Travel 18 Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials 93,301 2,688. 2,040. 98,029. 19 Conferences, conventions, and meetings 4,392. 4,392. 20 Interest 21 Payments to affiliates 319,308. 260,109 32,025. 27,174. 22 Depreciation, depletion, and amortization 109,392. 59,340. 49,048. 1,004. 23 Insurance Other expenses Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24f If line 24f amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24f expenses on Schedule O.) 53,500. 53,500. ALLOW. FOR UNCOLLECTIBL b f All other expenses 8,883,717. 1,112,540. 10,919,819. 923,562. Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24f 25 Joint costs. Check here ____ rf following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720). Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation

Pa	tΧ	Balance Sheet			
			(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
	1	Cash · non-interest-bearing	2,671.	1	2,671.
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments	6,267,612.	2	8,422,975.
	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net	3,066,919.	3	6,497,701.
	4	Accounts receivable, net	23,957.	4	10,577.
	5	Receivables from current and former officers, directors, trustees, key			
		employees, and highest compensated employees. Complete Part II			
		of Schedule L		5	
	6	Receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section			
		4958(f)(1)), persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B), and contributing			
		employers and sponsoring organizations of section 501(c)(9) voluntary			
Ø		employees' beneficiary organizations (see instructions)		6	
Assets	7	Notes and loans receivable, net		7	
ĕ	8	Inventories for sale or use	164 170	8	164 256
	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges	164,179.	9	164,356.
	10a	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other			
	_	basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D Less: accumulated depreciation 10a 2,728,914. 10b 1,778,381.	495,004.	10c	950 533
	11	Less: accumulated depreciation [10b] 1,778,381. Investments - publicly traded securities	10,897,025.	11	950,533. 12,796,013.
	12	Investments - other securities. See Part IV, line 11	10,031,023.	12	
	13	Investments - program-related. See Part IV, line 11		13	
	14	Intangible assets		14	
	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11	25,554.	15	77,291.
	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34)	20,942,921.	16	28,922,117.
	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	263,641.	17	298,012.
	18	Grants payable		18	
	19	Deferred revenue		19	
	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities		20	
es	21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete Part IV of Schedule D	·····	21	·····
Ħ	22	Payables to current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees,			
Liabilities		highest compensated employees, and disqualified persons. Complete Part II			
_		of Schedule L		22	
	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties		23	
	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties Other liabilities. Complete Part X of Schedule D	263,730.	24 25	236,905.
	25 26	Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25	527,371.	26	534,917.
	20	Organizations that follow SFAS 117, check here X and complete	3277371.	20	331/31/.
s		lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 and 34.			
nce	27	Unrestricted net assets	15,276,961.	27	20,131,411.
ā	28	Temporarily restricted net assets	5,138,589.	28	8,255,789.
B	29	Permanently restricted net assets	·	29	<u> </u>
뎚		Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117, check here and			
5		complete lines 30 through 34.			
ets	30	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds		30	
Ass	31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or equipment fund		31	
Net Assets or Fund Balances	32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds		32	
Z	33	Total net assets or fund balances	20,415,550.	33	28,387,200.
	34	Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances	20,942,921.	34	28,922,117.

Form **990** (2010)

orm	1990 (2010) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	/ Pa	age 12
Pa	rt XI Reconciliation of Net Assets				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XI				X
1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1	18,8		
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	10,9		
3	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	7,89	0,8	396.
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A))	4	20,43	<u>.5,5</u>	550.
5	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O)	5			754.
6	Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3, 4, and 5 (must equal Part X, line 33, column (B))	6	28,38	37,2	200.
Pa	t XII Financial Statements and Reporting				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII				X
				Yes	No
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: Cash X Accrual Other		_		1
	If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in Schedule	0.			
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?		2a		X
b	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?		2b	X	
С	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of th	e audīt,			
	review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant?		2c	X	
	If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Sch	edule O.		1	
d	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were issue	d on a			
	separate basis, consolidated basis, or both:				
	X Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis				
За	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Sii	ngle Audit			!
	Act and OMB Circular A-133?		3a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the requ	ired audit			
	or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits.		3b		<u> </u>
			Form	1 990	(2010)

SCHEDULE A (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury

Public Charity Status and Public Support

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

2010

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization **Employer identification number** INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 Part I Reason for Public Charity Status (All organizations must complete this part.) See instructions. The organization is not a private foundation because it is: (For lines 1 through 11, check only one box.) A church, convention of churches, or association of churches described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(i). A school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii), (Attach Schedule E.) 3 A hospital or a cooperative hospital service organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). A medical research organization operated in conjunction with a hospital described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). Enter the hospital's name, An organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit described in 5 section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv). (Complete Part II.) A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(v). An organization that normally receives a substantial part of its support from a governmental unit or from the general public described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Part II.) A community trust described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Part II.) An organization that normally receives: (1) more than 33 1/3% of its support from contributions, membership fees, and gross receipts from activities related to its exempt functions - subject to certain exceptions, and (2) no more than 33 1/3% of its support from gross investment income and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 tax) from businesses acquired by the organization after June 30, 1975. See section 509(a)(2). (Complete Part III.) 10 An organization organized and operated exclusively to test for public safety. See section 509(a)(4). An organization organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of one or more publicly supported organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or section 509(a)(2). See section 509(a)(3). Check the box that describes the type of supporting organization and complete lines 11e through 11h. b Type II c Type III - Functionally integrated a ____ Type I Type III - Other By checking this box, I certify that the organization is not controlled directly or indirectly by one or more disqualified persons other than foundation managers and other than one or more publicly supported organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or section 509(a)(2). If the organization received a written determination from the IRS that it is a Type I, Type II, or Type III supporting organization, check this box Since August 17, 2006, has the organization accepted any gift or contribution from any of the following persons? A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in (ii) and (iii) below, the governing body of the supported organization? 11g(i) A family member of a person described in (i) above? 11g(ii) (iii) A 35% controlled entity of a person described in (i) or (ii) above? 11g(iii) Provide the following information about the supported organization(s). (iii) Type of (vi) Is the (iv) Is the organization (v) Did you notify the (i) Name of supported (ii) EIN (vii) Amount of organization in col organization n col. (i) listed in your organization in col (i) organized in the organization support (described on lines 1-9 governing document? (i) of your support? above or IRC section (see instructions))

Part II Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III) Section A. Public Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2006 **(b)** 2007 (c) 2008(d) 2009 (e) 2010 (f) Total 1 Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any "unusual grants.") 8,986,386. 15,666,509 12,109,095. 18,305,447. 8,481,530. 63,548,967. 2 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf 3 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge 8,481,530. 8,986,386. 15,666,509 12,109,095 18,305,447. 63,548,967. 4 Total. Add lines 1 through 3 5 The portion of total contributions by each person (other than a governmental unit or publicly supported organization) included on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the amount shown on line 11. column (f) 11,868,073. 6 Public support. Subtract line 5 from line 4 51,680,894. Section B. Total Support Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2006 (b) 2007 (c) 2008(d) 2009 (e) 2010 (f) Total 8,481,530 8,986,386. 15,666,509. 12,109,095. 18,305,447. 63,548,967. 7 Amounts from line 4 8 Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties 482,173. 385,623. 181,289. 54,026. 397,121. 1,500,232. and income from similar sources 9 Net income from unrelated business activities, whether or not the business is regularly carried on 10 Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV.) 11 Total support. Add lines 7 through 10 65,049,199. 2,787,777. 12 Gross receipts from related activities, etc. (see instructions) 13 First five years. If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and stop here Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage 79.45 14 14 Public support percentage for 2010 (line 6, column (f) divided by line 11, column (f) 84.40 15 Public support percentage from 2009 Schedule A, Part II, line 14 15 16a 33 1/3% support test - 2010. If the organization did not check the box on line 13, and line 14 is 33 1/3% or more, check this box and ightharpoons Xstop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization b 33 1/3% support test - 2009. If the organization did not check a box on line 13 or 16a, and line 15 is 33 1/3% or more, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization 17a 10% -facts-and-circumstances test - 2010. If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, or 16b, and line 14 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test, check this box and stop here. Explain in Part IV how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization b 10% -facts-and-circumstances test - 2009. If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, or 17a, and line 15 is 10% or more, and if the organization meets the "facts and circumstances" test, check this box and stop here. Explain in Part IV how the organization meets the "facts-and-circumstances" test. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization

18 Private foundation. If the organization did not check a box on line 13, 16a, 16b, 17a, or 17b, check this box and see instructions

Part III | Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 9 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

picase com			-		
(2) 2006	(b) 2007	(a) 2008	(4) 2009	(a) 2010	(f) Total
(a) 2000	(6) 2007	(6) 2008	(4) 2009	(e) 2010	(i) iotai
	•				
			 		
		1			
				 	
	ļ		-		
				-	
<u></u>					
	Ţ	T	1		
(a) 2006	(b) 2007	(c) 2008	(d) 2009	(e) 2010	(f) Total
			ļ. <u>.</u>		
			1		
•					
the organization'	s first, second, thi	rd, fourth, or fifth t	ax year as a secti	on 501(c)(3) organiz	ation.
•			•	.,,,	▶□
c Support Pe	rcentage				
ne 8, column (f) o	livided by line 13,	column (f))		15	
Schedule A, Part	t III, line 15	•		16	
				17	
			_	18	-
		on line 14, and lin	e 15 is more than		
					▶□
					and
					▶□
				•	•
	the organization? C Support Pene 8, column (f) of Schedule A, Parestment Incom 10 (line 10c, column 2009 Schedule A, organization did and stop here. The organization did ck this box and s	the organization's first, second, this c Support Percentage ne 8, column (f) divided by line 13, o Schedule A, Part III, line 15 timent Income Percentage 10 (line 10c, column (f) divided by line 17 organization did not check the box and stop here. The organization qua organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization cid not check a core ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here. The organization did not check a box or ck this box and stop here.	(a) 2006 (b) 2007 (c) 2008 (b) 2007 (c) 2008 (c) 2008 (d) 2006 (e) 2007 (c) 2008 (e) 2008 (f) 2007 (e) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2007 (e) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 Check (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f) 2009 (f) 2008 (f) 2009 (f)	the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a sectice Support Percentage ne 8, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f)) Schedule A, Part III, line 15 Stiment Income Percentage 10 (line 10c, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f)) 2009 Schedule A, Part III, line 17 organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than at stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organication did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than of stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than organization did not check a box on line 14 organization did not c	the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organizer construction (b) the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organizer construction (c) support Percentage ne 8, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f)

SCHEDULE C (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities

For Organizations Exempt From Income Tax Under section 501(c) and section 527

► See <u>separate instructions</u>.

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Complete if the organization is described below. Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 3, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 46 (Political Campaign Activities), then

- Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Complete Parts I-A and B. Do not complete Part I-C.
- Section 501(c) (other than section 501(c)(3)) organizations: Complete Parts I-A and C below. Do not complete Part I-B.
- Section 527 organizations: Complete Part I-A only.

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 4, or Form 990-EZ, Part VI, line 47 (Lobbying Activities), then

- Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)): Complete Part II-A. Do not complete Part II-B.
- Section 501(c)(3) organizations that have NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)): Complete Part II-B. Do not complete Part II-A.

If the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 5 (Proxy Tax), or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 35a (Proxy Tax), then

	Section 5	J1(c)(4), (5), or (6) organiza	tions: Complete Part III.			
Nam	ne of orga				Emp	oloyer identification number
			TE FOR JUSTICE			52-1744337
Pa	ert I-A	Complete if the org	janization is exempt un	der section 501(c)	or is a section 527	organization.
2		expenditures	zation's direct and indirect politi	ical campaign activities	_	\$
Pa	ert I-B	Complete if the ord	ganization is exempt un	der section 501(c)		
	•		incurred by the organization un		<u>(∪).</u>	<u> </u>
		•	incurred by organization manage		_	
		•	n 4955 tax, did it file Form 4720	~		Yes No
		prection made?	.,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Yes No
b	o if "Yes,"	describe in Part IV.				
			anization is exempt un	der section 501(c)	, except section 501	(c)(3).
1	Enter the	amount directly expended	d by the filing organization for s	ection 527 exempt func	tion activities	\$
2	Enter the	amount of the filing organ	ization's funds contributed to d	other organizations for s	ection 527	
	exempt f	unction activities	•		•	\$
3	Total exe	mpt function expenditures	s. Add lines 1 and 2. Enter here	and on Form 1120-POL	••	
	line 17b				>	\$
4		ling organization file Form	•			Yes No
5	Enter the	names, addresses and er	nployer identification number (E	EIN) of all section 527 po	olitical organizations to wh	ich the filing organization
		•	tion listed, enter the amount pa			•
		·	omptly and directly delivered to		•	ate segregated fund or a
	political a		additional space is needed, pro			1
		(a) Name	(b) Address	(c) EIN	(d) Amount paid from filing organization's funds. If none, enter -0-	contributions received and

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010	INSTITUTE F	OR JUSTICE			744337 Page 2
Part II-A Complete if the org		pt under section	n 501(c)(3) and file	ed Form 5768	
(election under sect	tion 501(h))				
🐧 Check 🕨 💹 if the filing organizat	ion belongs to an affilia	ated group.			
3 Check 🕨 📖 if the filing organizat	ion checked box A and	"limited control" prov	visions apply.		
	s on Lobbying Expend litures" means amoun			(a) Filing organization's totals	(b) Affiliated group totals
1a Total lobbying expenditures to influ	ence public opinion (ar	rass roots lobbying)		10,693.	
b Total lobbying expenditures to influ	• • •			42,183.	
c Total lobbying expenditures (add lir		,,,,,,,,,,	Ī	52,876.	
d Other exempt purpose expenditure	•			10866943.	
e Total exempt purpose expenditures				10919819.	
f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Ente	r the amount from the	following table in both	columns.	695,991.	
If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or		ying nontaxable amo			
Not over \$500,000	20% of th	ne amount on line 1e.			
Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000	,000 \$100,000	plus 15% of the exce	ess over \$500,000.		
Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,50		plus 10% of the exce	ess over \$1,000,000.		
Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000 but not over \$	000,000 \$225,000	plus 5% of the exces	ss over \$1,500,000.		
Over \$17,000,000	\$1,000,00	00.			
g Grassroots nontaxable amount (en	ter 25% of line 1f)			173,998.	
h Subtract line 1g from line 1a. If zero	o or less, enter -0-			0.	
i Subtract line 1f from line 1c. If zero	or less, enter -0-		L	0.	
j If there is an amount other than zer	o on either line 1h or lir	ne 1ı, dıd the organıza	tion file Form 4720	_	
reporting section 4911 tax for this	year?			L	Yes No
•	4-Year Aver ations that made a se lumns below. See the	• •	do not have to comp		
	Lobbying Expend	ditures During 4-Yea	r Averaging Period		
Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2007	(b) 2008	(c) 2009	(d) 2010	(e) Total
2a Lobbying nontaxable amount	597,302.	633,515.	623,427.	695,991.	2,550,235.
b Lobbying ceiling amount (150% of line 2a, column(e))					3,825,353.
c Total lobbying expenditures	37,102.	66,099.	39,007.	52,876.	195,084.
d Grassroots nontaxable amount	149,326.	158,379.	155,857.	173,998.	637,560.
e Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 2d, column (e))			,		956,340.
f_Grassroots lobbying expenditures	5,335.	30,753.	7,840.	10,693.	54,621.

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-174433 Part II-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3) and has NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)).

		16)		(b)	
		Yes	No		Amo	unt
1 [During the year, did the filing organization attempt to influence foreign, national, state or					
lo	ocal legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter					
c	or referendum, through the use of:					
a V	Volunteers?					
b F	Paid staff or management (include compensation in expenses reported on lines 1c through 1i)?					
c N	Media advertisements?					
d N	Mailings to members, legislators, or the public?					
e F	Publications, or published or broadcast statements?			\perp		
f	Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes?			\perp		
_	Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?					
h F	Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?					
i (Other activities? If "Yes," describe in Part IV	··········				
jΊ	Total. Add lines 1c through 1i				·····	
	Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?	ļ				
	if "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912			<u> </u>		
	if "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912					
	If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?	504(-)	<i>(</i> =)	Щ.		
art	III-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6).	on 501(c)	(5), or	sect	lion	
.,					1	NI.
					Yes	NO
	Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?		1		Yes	No
١	Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members? Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?		2		Yes	No
1 V 2 [Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section		(5), or	sect	tion	No
\ : [; [Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Part "Yes."		(5), or ne 3 is	sect ans	tion	No
v c prt	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pail "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members	rt III-A, lii	(5), or	sect ans	tion	No
ert.	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pail "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenditures)	rt III-A, lii	(5), or ne 3 is	sect ans	tion	No
v: [Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pare "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).	rt III-A, lii	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
\ 	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? The Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Part "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year	rt III-A, lii	2 (5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
 [Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pare "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year	rt III-A, lii	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pare "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year	rt III-A, lii	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
V: [: [:]	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pare "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year	rt III-A, lii	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
V	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pail "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of politic expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year Total Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues of notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exceptio	cal	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
art [Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? The Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pare "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year Total Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues if notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the exceeds the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and prior the organization agree to carryover to the organization agree to car	cal	(5), or ne 3 is	sect	tion	No
art (c) (c) (c)	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less? Did the organization agree to carryover lobbying and political expenditures from the prior year? III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(6) if BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2 are answered "No" OR if Pail "Yes." Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of politic expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid). Current year Carryover from last year Total Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues of notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exception 162 in the property of the exception of the exceptio	cal	23 (5), or ne 3 is 22 21 20	sect	tion	No

SCHEDULE D

(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Financial Statements ► Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990,

Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990,
 Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, or 12.
 ► Attach to Form 990.
 ► See separate instructions.

2010
Open to Public Inspection

₹ <u>₹</u>

Name of the organization

TNSTTTUTE FOR TUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

Par	INSTITUTE FOR 5051		Accounts Complete if the
, 44,	organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, lin		Acodants. Complete ii the
	organization answered Tes to Form 990, Part IV, in	(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds and other accounts
4	Total accept on at any of cons	(a) beneficially see failed	(b) I dilas and other decoding
1	Total number at end of year		-
2	Aggregate contributions to (during year)		
3	Aggregate grants from (during year)		
4	Aggregate value at end of year		
5	Did the organization inform all donors and donor advisors in	_	
	are the organization's property, subject to the organization's	_	Yes No
6	Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and donor a		-
	for charitable purposes and not for the benefit of the donor of	or donor advisor, or for any other purpose conf	
Pai	Impermissible private benefit?	The second West As Free 200 Per U	Yes No
			v, line 7.
1	Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the organizat		allower and a Albandana
	Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreation or e		
	Protection of natural habitat	Preservation of a certified	historic structure
_	Preservation of open space		
2	Complete lines 2a through 2d if the organization held a quali	filed conservation contribution in the form of a	conservation easement on the last
	day of the tax year.		Held Albe Fed Albe Tee Very
	T. 1		Held at the End of the Tax Year
a	Total number of conservation easements		2a
b	Total acreage restricted by conservation easements		2b
C	Number of conservation easements on a certified historic sti	* *	2c
d	Number of conservation easements included in (c) acquired	after 8/1 //06, and not on a historic structure	
_	listed in the National Register		2d
3	Number of conservation easements modified, transferred, re	eleased, extinguished, or terminated by the org	anization during the tax
	year ▶		
4	Number of states where property subject to conservation ea	-	
5	Does the organization have a written policy regarding the pe	• •	
_	violations, and enforcement of the conservation easements		Yes No
6	Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, inspecting,	-	
7	Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspecting, and		·
8	Does each conservation easement reported on line 2(d) about a service 1704 VAVPISA	ve satisfy the requirements of section 170(n)(4)	
_	and section 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)?		Yes No
9	In Part XIV, describe how the organization reports conservat		
	include, if applicable, the text of the footnote to the organiza	ation's financial statements that describes the c	organization's accounting for
Da	conservation easements. † III Organizations Maintaining Collections of	of Art. Historical Transures or Other	r Similar Accets
F-01	Complete if the organization answered 'Yes' to Form	-	Similar Assets.
	If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (A)		and belongs about works of out
ıa		·	
	historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public ex the text of the footnote to its financial statements that descr		of public service, provide, in Part XIV,
_			I belonge sheet works of out historical
b	If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (Attack)		
	treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, e	education, or research in furtherance of public s	service, provide the following amounts
	relating to these items:		> ¢
	(i) Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1		> \$
^	(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X		-
2	If the organization received or held works of art, historical tre	-	n, provide
_	the following amounts required to be reported under SFAS 1	i to (ASC 958) relating to these items:	▶ ¢
a	Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1		-
b	Assets included in Form 990, Part X		* \$

		TE FOR JUS	TICE					<u> </u>	4433	<u>/ Pa</u>	age 2
Par	t 🔢 Organizations Maintaining C	ollections of A	rt, His	torical Tr	easures, c	or Othe	er Simil	<u>ar Asse</u>	ts (conti	nued)	
3	Using the organization's acquisition, accessi	on, and other record	ds, checl	k any of the	following that	t are a s	gnıficant	use of its	collection	ı ıtem	s
	(check all that apply):										
а	Public exhibition		.	Loan or exc	hange progra	ams					
b	Scholarly research			Other							
c	Preservation for future generations										
4	Provide a description of the organization's co	ollections and expla	ın how th	nev further t	he organizati	on's exe	mot purp	ose in Par	t XIV.		
5	During the year, did the organization solicit of										
•	to be sold to raise funds rather than to be ma								Yes		No
Par	t IV Escrow and Custodial Arran					"Yes" to	Form 990) Part IV			
1 44.	reported an amount on Form 990, Pa	•	ioto ii tiric	organizatio	ii anowored	100 10	1 01111 000	,,			
12	Is the organization an agent, trustee, custod		diany for	contribution	e or other ac	eete not	ıncluded				
10	on Form 990, Part X?	ian of other interme	cialy ioi	CONTRIBUTION	is of other as	sacta not	IIICIUUEU		Yes	[-	No
	•							<u> </u>	_ res	L) IAO
D	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV	and complete the id	ollowing	labie.					A		
									Amount		
C	Beginning balance				•		1c				
	Additions during the year						1d				
е	Distributions during the year		•		•	•	1e				
f	Ending balance	• •					1f	<u></u>	-		
	Did the organization include an amount on F		21?						」 Yes	L_	No
	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIV										
Par	* V Endowment Funds. Complete	f the organization a	nswered	"Yes" to Fo	rm 990, Part	IV, line 1	0.				
		(a) Current year	(b) F	nor year	(c) Two yea	rs back	(d) Three	years back	(e) Four	years	back
1a	Beginning of year balance										
ь	Contributions										
c	Net investment earnings, gains, and losses										
d	Grants or scholarships										
e	Other expenditures for facilities						~~~~~	***************************************			
	and programs										
f	Administrative expenses				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·	***********	
	End of year balance				-			 			
2	Provide the estimated percentage of the year	r end halance held	ac.		l.,				£		
	Board designated or quasi-endowment	ir cha balance nela	w. %								
	Permanent endowment	 %	//								
	<u></u>	% %									
	-		4 41-				L	4			
Ja	Are there endowment funds not in the posse	ession of the organiz	zation the	at are neid a	ino aoministe	ered for the	ne organi.	zation	Г	.	
	by:									Yes	No
	(i) unrelated organizations	•							3a(i)		
	(ii) related organizations								3a(ii)		
b	If "Yes" to 3a(II), are the related organizations	•			-				3b		
4	Describe in Part XIV the intended uses of the										
Par	t VI Land, Buildings, and Equipm			I							
	Description of investment	(a) Cost or of basis (invest		1 ''	or other (other)		ccumulate preciation		(d) Bool	c value	8
1a	Land		· · ·				,				
	Buildings										
	Leasehold improvements			1.21	6,514.		344,4	14.	373	2,1	00-
	Equipment				2,400.		33,9			8,4	
	Other .			,		-	,-			- , -	0.
	I. Add lines 1a through 1e. (Column (d) must e	aud Form 000, Da-	+ V col:-	no (D) line i	10(a))				951	0,5	
rotal	i. Aud iiries Ta iiriougii Te. (Golumii (u) Must e	quai ruiii 990, Pari	i A, CUIUI	iii (D), IIIIE_l	U(U)./					-,-	

Schedule D (Form 990) 2010

(6) (7) (8) (9) (10)(11)Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col (B) line 25.)

FIN 48 (ASC 740) Footnote in Part XIV, provide the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that reports the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under 2. FIN 48 (ASC 740)

Sche	dule D (Form 990) 2010 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE				52-	1744337 Page 4
Pai	t XI Reconciliation of Change in Net Assets from Form 990 to	Audit	ed Finan	cial State	emen	
1	Total revenue (Form 990, Part VIII, column (A), line 12)			1		18,810,715.
2	Total expenses (Form 990, Part IX, column (A), line 25)			2		10,919,819.
3	Excess or (deficit) for the year. Subtract line 2 from line 1			3		7,890,896.
4	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments			4		80,754.
5	Donated services and use of facilities			5		
6	Investment expenses .			6		
7	Prior period adjustments			7		
8	Other (Describe in Part XIV)			8		
9	Total adjustments (net). Add lines 4 through 8			9		80,754.
10	Excess or (deficit) for the year per audited financial statements. Combine lines 3 are			10		7,971,650.
Par	* XII Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Stateme	ents W	ith Rever	nue per l	Retur	
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements				1	18,891,469.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:	1	۱ ۵	0 754		
а	Net unrealized gains on investments	2a	8	0,754	4	
b	Donated services and use of facilities	2b			4	
С	Recoveries of prior year grants	2c			4	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV.)	2d	•		_	00 754
е	Add lines 2a through 2d				2e	80,754.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1				3	18,810,715.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:	1.	I			
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			-	
þ	Other (Describe in Part XIV.)	4b	<u> </u>		┨.	
_ C	Add lines 4a and 4b				4c	18,810,715.
Da	Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12.) * XIII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statem	onto V	With Eyns	ncoc no	5	
	- William - 1 -	ieiita V	Villi Expe	ilises pei	1	10,919,819.
1 2	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:				'	10,010,010.
۷,	Donated services and use of facilities	2a	1			
a	Prior year adjustments	2b			┪	
•	Other losses	2c			1	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIV.)	2d			1	
e	Add lines 2a through 2d	<u> zu</u>	<u> </u>		2e	0.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1				3	10,919,819.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:					
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			}	
	Other (Describe in Part XIV.)	4b			1	
G	Add lines 4a and 4b	_ 75			4c	0.
5	Total expenses. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 18)				5	10,919,819.
-	t XIV Supplemental Information				<u> </u>	
	olete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part e 2; Part XI, line 8; Part XII, lines 2d and 4b; and Part XIII, lines 2d and 4b. Also com					

SCHEDULE J (Form 990)

Compensation Information

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest
Compensated Employees
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990,

Part IV, line 23.

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

Pa	art I Questions Regarding Compensation			
			Yes	No
1a	Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed in Form 990,	-771		,,,,,
	Part VII, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items.			
	First-class or charter travel Housing allowance or residence for personal use			
	Travel for companions Payments for business use of personal residence			
	Tax indemnification and gross-up payments Health or social club dues or initiation fees			
	Discretionary spending account Personal services (e.g., maid, chauffeur, chef)			
b	If any of the boxes on line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or			
	reimbursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain	1b		
2	Did the organization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all officers, directors,			
	trustees, and the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked in line 1a?	2		
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the organization uses to establish the compensation of the organization's			
	CEO/Executive Director. Check all that apply.			
	Compensation committee Written employment contract			
	Independent compensation consultant X Compensation survey or study			
	X Form 990 of other organizations X Approval by the board or compensation committee			
4	During the year, did any person listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing			
	organization or a related organization:	ŀ		
а	Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment from the organization or a related organization?	4a		X
b	Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?	4b		Х
С	Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement?	4c		Х
	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III.	İ		
	Only section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete lines 5-9.			
5	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation			
	contingent on the revenues of:	_		.,
а		5a		X
b	Any related organization?	_5b		Х
_	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III.			
6	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation			
	contingent on the net earnings of:	_		v
a	The organization?	_6a		X
b	Any related organization?	6b		
_	If "Yes" to line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III.			
7	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any non-fixed payments	_	v	į
_	not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	7	Х	_
8	Were any amounts reported in Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject to the			v
_	initial contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III	-8		X
9	If "Yes" to line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in			
	Regulations section 53 4958-6(c)?	1 9	l	1

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

Schedule J (Form 990) 2010

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed

For each individual whose compensation must be reported in Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individuals that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII.

Note. The sum of columns (B)(i)-(iii) must equal the applicable column (D) or column (E) amounts on Form 990, Part VII, line 1a.

		(B) Breakdown of	W-2 and/or 1099-MIS	SC compensation	(C) Retirement and	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
(A) Name	 	(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & Incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deferred compensation	benefits	(B)(I)-(D)	reported in prior Form 990 or Form 990-EZ
	Tij	367,018.	67,500.	0.	49,000.	13,598.	497,116.	0.
1 WILLIAM H. MELLOR	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)	163,670.	15,000.	0.	24,970.	335.	203,975.	0.
2 DEBORAH SIMPSON	<u> (ii) </u>	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)	129,674.	0.	0.	18,053.	4,981.	152,708.	0.
3 STEVEN ANDERSON	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
TOUN KDAMED	(i)	206,872.	15,000.	0.	31,162.	13,598.	266,632.	0.
4 JOHN KRAMER	(ii)	0. 155,895.	0. 1,000.	0.	22,027.	0. 5,127.	184,049.	0.
5 BETH STEVENS	(i)	155,695.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
5 DEIII SIEVENS	(ii) (i)	178,610.	7,500.	0.	25,864.	5,127.	217,101.	0.
6 SCOTT BULLOCK	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
V DCGII BOLLOCK	(i)	181,393.	10,000.	0.	26,944.	5,127.	223,464.	0.
7 DANA BERLINER	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)	169,383.	2,500.	0.	23,374.	4,981.	200,238.	0.
8 CLARK NEILY	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)	175,248.	10,000.	0.	24,024.	13,535.	222,807.	0.
9 STEVEN SIMPSON	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)	139,320.	2,000.	0.	18,661.	4,901.	164,882.	0.
10 JEFFREY ROWES	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	(i)							,
11	(ii)							
	(i)							
12	(ii)							
	(i)							
13	(ii)							
	(i)							
14	(ii)				-			
45	(i)							
15	(ii)							
16	(i) (ii)							
10	1,117			<u> l</u>			. 	

SCHEDULE L (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Transactions With Interested Persons

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 25a, 25b, 26, 27, 28a, 28b, or 28c, or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a or 40b.

2010

OMB No 1545-0047

Open To Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Name of the organization

or Form 990-EZ, Part V, line 38a or 40b.

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE Employer identification number 52-1744337

Part I						n 501(c)(4) organization line 25a or 25b, or Fo			V line 40	- Ib		
1	(a) Name of dis			- OITT OITT	330,1 21(14,		(c) Corr	rected?				
			<u> </u>						•			
sectio	the amount of tax imports on 4958 the amount of tax, if ar				·		e year un	der	► \$ ► \$			
Part II	Loans to and/o									_		
	Complete if the orga ame of interested on and purpose	(b) Loan to the organia	or from	(c) Origi	990, Part IV, nal principal nount	(d) Balance due	(e)	<u>/, line 38</u>) In ault?	(f) App	proved ard or	(g) W agreei	
			From				Yes	Yes No		Yes No		No
												-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Total	Grants or Assis	tanas Bans	fiting l		▶ \$			<u> </u>				
Part III	Complete if the orga		_									
(;	a) Name of interested i	person		(b) Relati		een interested person ganization	and			ount an assistan	d type of	i
	-											
					·							

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010

Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2010 Page 2 Part IV Business Transactions Involving Interested Persons. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 28a, 28b, or 28c (e) Sharing of (a) Name of interested person (c) Amount of (b) Relationship between interested (d) Description of person and the organization transaction transaction revenues? Yes No STEVEN SIMPSON 222,807.REGULAR EMP HUSBAND OF DEBORAH X Part V Supplemental Information Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule L (see instructions). SCH L, PART IV, BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING INTERESTED PERSONS: (A) NAME OF PERSON: STEVEN SIMPSON (B) RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTERESTED PERSON AND ORGANIZATION: HUSBAND OF DEBORAH SIMPSON, MANAGING VP & SECRETARY (D) DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION: REGULAR EMPLOYEE OF THE INSTITUTE

SCHEDULE M (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Noncash Contributions

► Complete if the organizations answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 29 or 30.

Attach to Form 990.

OMB No 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

Par	rt I Types of Property	I OODI	102			1/11	<u> </u>	
	Types of Troporty	(a) Check if applicable	(b) Number of contributions or items contributed	(c) Noncash contribution amounts reported on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1	Method of contrib	letermın	_	s
1	Art - Works of art							
2	Art - Historical treasures							
3	Art - Fractional interests							
4	Books and publications							
5	Clothing and household goods		111					
6	Cars and other vehicles						• •	
7	Boats and planes							
8	Intellectual property							
9	Securities - Publicly traded	X	25	527,642.	FMV			
10	Securities - Closely held stock							
11	Securities - Partnership, LLC, or					-		
	trust interests						-	
12	Securities · Miscellaneous							
13	Qualified conservation contribution -							
	Historic structures							
14	Qualified conservation contribution - Other							
15	Real estate - Residential							
16	Real estate - Commercial							
17	Real estate - Other							
18	Collectibles							
19	Food inventory							
20	Drugs and medical supplies						-	
21	Taxidermy							
22	Historical artifacts							
23	Scientific specimens							
24	Archeological artifacts							
25	Other ()		1					
26	Other ()							
27	Other (
28	Other (
29	Number of Forms 8283 received by the organi	zation durin	a the tax year for a	contributions	 			
	for which the organization completed Form 82							
		, ,	•	<u> </u>		-	Yes	No
30a	During the year, did the organization receive b	y contribution	on any property rep	oorted in Part I, lines 1-28	that it must hold for			
	at least three years from the date of the initial							
	the entire holding period?			•	, , ,	30a		Х
ь	If "Yes," describe the arrangement in Part II.	•	• ••••				"	.,,,,,,,
31	Does the organization have a gift acceptance	policy that r	equires the review	of any non-standard conti	ibutions?	31	X	
	Does the organization hire or use third parties		•	•			-	
	contributions?		-		••	32a	X	
b	If "Yes," describe in Part II.	••••		•	• •		,	
33	If the organization did not report an amount in	column (c)	for a type of prope	rty for which column (a) is	checked.			
-	describe in Part II.		. 21		,			
LHA		the Instruc	tions for Form 99	0.	Schedule N	1 (Form	990) ((2010)

<u>Schedule M (Form 9</u>	90) (2010)	INSTI.	TUTE	FOR a	702.T.C	C Ei			<u>Z-1/4433/</u>	Page 2
Part II Suppl Also co	lemental mplete this	Informat part for any	tion. Co y addition	omplete th	nis part to ation.	provide th	e information require	ed by Part I, lines	30b, 32b, and 33.	
SCHEDULE M	, LINE	32B:	THE	INST	ITUTE	USES	BROKERAGE	ACCOUNTS	то	
										11.
FACILITATE	THE S.	ALE O	F DON	NATED	SECU	RITIE	S	 		
					<u> </u>					
				_		,				
				<u>.</u>					·····	
					 					
			<u>.</u>					·····		
								•		
										
										
										
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
			· ·							
						·· - -				
										
 										
										

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.

Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

2010
Open to Public inspection

Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:

CHOICE, PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS, FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND OTHER VITAL

INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES, AND TO RESTORE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS ON THE POWER

OF GOVERNMENT. IN ADDITION, IJ TRAINS LAW STUDENTS, LAWYERS AND POLICY

ACTIVISTS IN THE TACTICS OF PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION. THROUGH THESE

ACTIVITIES, IJ CHALLENGES THE IDEOLOGY OF THE WELFARE STATE AND

ILLUSTRATES AND EXTENDS THE BENEFITS OF FREEDOM TO THOSE WHOSE FULL

ENJOYMENT OF LIBERTY IS DENIED BY GOVERNMENT.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION A, LINE 1: WILLIAM H. MELLOR SERVES AS PRESIDENT & GENERAL COUNSEL AND IS EMPLOYED BY THE ORGANIZATION.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11: THE FORM 990 WAS REVIEWED BY THE INSTITUTE'S AUDIT COMMITTEE IN CONSULTATION WITH THE INSTITUTE'S INDEPENDENT AUDITORS. AFTER REVIEW BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE, THE FORM 990 WAS REVIEWED BY THE FULL BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C: ON AN ANNUAL BASIS BOTH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EVERY EMPLOYEE REVIEW THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY AND MUST DISCLOSE ANY CONFLICTS WITH THE INSTITUTE. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS REVIEWS THE POLICY AT OR AROUND ITS FINAL MEETING OF THE FISCAL YEAR AND EACH MEMBER PROVIDES WRITTEN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. EVERY EMPLOYEE RECEIVES AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF THE POLICY. ANY CONFLICTS OR POTENTIAL CONFLICTS ARE RESOLVED BY THE PRESIDENT OR OTHERWISE REPORTED BY THE PRESIDENT AND REVIEWED AND RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, LESS ANY MEMBER THAT MAY

HAVE A CONFLICT OR POTENTIAL CONFLICT.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15: THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL'S COMPENSATION IS SET BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT THE FALL BOARD MEETING. THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PROVIDES THE BOARD'S COMPENSATION COMMITTEE WITH PRESENT AND PAST COMPENSATION AMOUNTS FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, AS WELL AS COMPARABLE DATA FROM THE MOST RECENTLY AVAILABLE FORM 990 FOR SIMILARLY SITUATED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. THE CFO ALSO ANNUALLY ENGAGES AN OUTSIDE VENDOR TO PROVIDE AN INDEPENDENT COMPENSATION SURVEY. THE FULL BOARD (EXCEPT FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, WHO IS RECUSED) THEN VOTES TO DETERMINE COMPENSATION AND THE DECISION IS CONTEMPORANEOUSLY RECORDED AND COMMUNICATED TO THE CFO BY THE CHAIRMAN AND PLACED IN THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL'S CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYMENT FILE. DURING THE SUMMER BOARD MEETING, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AUTHORIZES FORECASTED COMPENSATION INCREASES FOR OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES THROUGH ITS APPROVAL OF THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR'S BUDGET. IN DETERMINING THE FISCAL YEAR BUDGET, THE COMPENSATION AMOUNTS OF OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES ARE DETERMINED IN COMPARISON TO SIMILARLY SITUATED OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES AT SIMILARLY SITUATED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. SUCH DETERMINATION IS CONTEMPORANEOUSLY SUBSTANTIATED THROUGH RECORDATION OF THE PASSAGE OF THE BUDGET. THE COMPENSATION DETERMINATION IS PLACED IN THE OFFICER OR OTHER KEY EMPLOYEE'S CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYMENT FILE. FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 17, LIST OF STATES RECEIVING COPY OF FORM 990:

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19: THE INSTITUTE'S 990 IS AVAILABLE ON ITS AND OTHER WEBSITES. THE INSTITUTE'S 990, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND

AL, AK, AZ, CO, CT, FL, KS, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, NH, NJ, NM, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, IN

UT, WA, WV, WI, NY, SC, VA, IL, MO

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010) Page 2 **Employer identification number** Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 OTHER IRS DOCUMENTATION, GOVERNING DOCUMENTS AND CERTAIN OTHER POLICIES ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC UPON REQUEST. FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 5, CHANGES IN NET ASSETS: NET UNREALIZED GAINS ON INVESTMENTS: 80,754. FORM 990, PART XII, LINE 2C: THE INSTITUTE HAS AN AUDIT COMMITTEE THAT ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSIGHT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SELECTION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR. THE PROCESS HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE PRIOR YEAR. CASE UPDATE ARIZONA FREEDOM CLUB PAC V. BENNETT THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE IN JUNE WON OUR SECOND U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE OF THE TERM, AND OUR FOURTH IN NINE YEARS, WHEN THE JUSTICES STRUCK DOWN THE MATCHING FUNDS PROVISION OF ARIZONA'S "CLEAN ELECTIONS" ARIZONA'S SCHEME MANIPULATED ELECTION SPEECH BY FAVORING ACT. CANDIDATES WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE PUBLIC FUNDING SYSTEM OVER THOSE WHO CHOSE TO FOREGO TAXPAYER DOLLARS AND INSTEAD RAISE FUNDS THROUGH VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS. FOR EVERY DOLLAR A PRIVATELY FUNDED CANDIDATE SPENT ABOVE A GOVERNMENT-DICTATED AMOUNT, THE GOVERNMENT GAVE ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO HIS OPPONENT. UNDER OUR CONSTITUTION, THE

COURT'S RULING WILL HAVE SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS BEYOND CAMPAIGN

MUCH OR SUBSIDIZING THOSE IT BELIEVES ARE SPEAKING TOO LITTLE.

GOVERNMENT HAS NO PLACE IN PUNISHING THOSE IT BELIEVES ARE SPEAKING TOO

THE

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

COURTS TO TAKE CONSTITUTIONALLY ENSHRINED INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS MORE

SERIOUSLY, NOT ONLY IN THE AREA OF FREE SPEECH, BUT ALSO ACROSS IJ'S

OTHER PILLARS OF LITIGATION-PROPERTY RIGHTS, ECONOMIC LIBERTY, AND

EDUCATIONAL CHOICE.

COMMUNITY YOUTH CENTER V. CITY OF NATIONAL CITY, ET AL.

AFTER FOUR YEARS OF HARD-FOUGHT LITIGATION, IN APRIL A CALIFORNIA SUPERIOR COURT RULED AGAINST NATIONAL CITY, CALIFORNIA, IN ITS ATTEMPTS TO SEIZE OUR CLIENTS' PROPERTY VIA THE ABUSE OF EMINENT DOMAIN LAWS. OUR CLIENTS ARE FATHER AND SON TEAM CARLOS AND JR BARRAGAN AND THE COMMUNITY YOUTH ATHLETIC CENTER, AN AFTER-SCHOOL BOXING GYM THAT MENTORS AT-RISK KIDS. THE BARRAGANS STARTED THE CYAC IN 1991, AFTER SEEING TOO MANY KIDS LOST FIRST TO THE STREETS AND THEN TO PRISON. THEY DECIDED TO OPEN THE CYAC IN THEIR BACKYARD WITH A SINGLE PUNCHING BAG HUNG FROM A TREE. IT WAS NOT LONG BEFORE THEIR BOXING PROGRAM OUTGREW THE BACKYARD, AND IN 2000, THROUGH PRIVATE DONATIONS, THEY WERE ABLE TO BUY A 3,700 SQUARE-FOOT BUILDING FOR THEIR GYM IN DOWNTOWN NATIONAL CITY. IT DIDN'T TAKE LONG, HOWEVER, FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CITY TO COME UP WITH OTHER PLANS FOR THE LAND. IN ORDER TO INCREASE THE CITY'S TAX BASE, OFFICIALS PLANNED TO SEIZE THE PROPERTY VIA EMINENT DOMAIN AND HAND THE LAND OVER TO A PRIVATE DEVELOPER SO HE COULD BUILD A HIGH-RISE CONDO BUILDING. BUT THANKS TO THE COURT'S RULING, THE GYM'S PROPERTY IS SAFE, AND CYAC CAN CONTINUE TO SAVE THE CITY'S MOST VULNERABLE YOUTH.

ST. JOSEPH ABBEY V. CASTILLE

THIS CASE IS PART OF IJ'S CAMPAIGN FOR ECONOMIC LIBERTY, A MULTI-YEAR, MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR INITIATIVE TO VINDICATE THE RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING FREE FROM UNNECESSARY GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE. REPRESENT THE MONKS OF SAINT JOSEPH ABBEY IN COVINGTON, LA., IN THEIR EFFORT TO SUPPORT THEMSELVES AND THEIR CALLING BY SELLING HANDMADE CASKETS TO HELP PAY FOR FOOD, HEALTH CARE, AND EDUCATION. UNDER STATE LAW, THE MONKS FACE CRIPPLING FINES AND EVEN JAIL TIME FOR SELLING "FUNERAL MERCHANDISE," WHICH INCLUDES CASKETS, WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING A FUNERAL DIRECTOR'S LICENSE EVEN THOUGH THERE IS NO PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY REASON TO REGULATE WHO CAN SELL CASKETS. A CASKET IS JUST A BOX AND IS NOT EVEN NECESSARY FOR BURIAL. THE LAW IS IN PLACE FOR ONE SIMPLE REASON: THE CARTEL OF LICENSED FUNERAL DIRECTORS SIMPLY WANTS THE LUCRATIVE FUNERAL MARKET TO ITSELF. WE FILED SUIT CHALLENGING THE LAW IN AUGUST 2010, AND THE CASE WENT TO TRIAL IN JUNE 2011. WE EXPECT A DECISION THIS SUMMER. A VICTORY WILL MEAN OUR CLIENTS, AND ANY OTHER ENTREPRENEUR IN LOUISIANA, WILL BE ABLE TO SELL CASKETS WITHOUT FEAR OF REPRISAL BY THE STATE.

WINN V. GARRIOTT

IN APRIL, IJ SCORED ITS FIRST OF TWO SUPREME COURT VICTORIES OF THE

2010-11 TERM WHEN THE JUSTICES DISMISSED A CHALLENGE TO ARIZONA'S

INDIVIDUAL SCHOLARSHIP TAX CREDIT. AT ISSUE IN THE CASE WAS A

LONGSTANDING INDIVIDUAL TAX-CREDIT PROGRAM THAT ENCOURAGES INDIVIDUALS

TO DONATE TO ORGANIZATIONS THAT PROVIDE PRIVATE-SCHOOL SCHOLARSHIPS TO

LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME CHILDREN TO ENABLE THEM TO ESCAPE THE SCHOOLS

THEY'VE BEEN RELEGATED TO BY THE GOVERNMENT AND ATTEND THE SCHOOLS

THEIR PARENTS HAVE CHOSEN FOR THEM. IJ INTERVENED IN THE CASE TO

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

DEFEND PARENTS AND CHILDREN WHO BENEFIT FROM THE SCHOLARSHIPS, AS WELL

AS THE ARIZONA SCHOOL CHOICE TRUST, A SCHOLARSHIP-GRANTING

ORGANIZATION. THE COURT CONCLUDED THAT THE PLAINTIFF IN THE CASE-THE

ACLU OF ARIZONA-LACKED STANDING BECAUSE THE PROGRAM IS FUNDED BY

PRIVATE CONTRIBUTIONS, NOT TAX DOLLARS. AS A RESULT, THE TENS OF

THOUSANDS OF ARIZONA CHILDREN RELYING ON THE TAX CREDIT SCHOLARSHIP

PROGRAM CONTINUE TO ATTEND THE SCHOOLS THEIR PARENTS HAVE CHOSEN FORM

THEM, NOT THE FAILING ONES THEY WERE RELEGATED TO BY GOVERNMENT.

OTHER FIRST AMENDMENT CASES

SAMPSON V. BUESCHER

IN ANOTHER VICTORY FOR POLITICAL SPEECH, A FEDERAL COURT IN NOVEMBER

RULED IN FAVOR OF OUR CLIENTS, RESIDENTS OF PARKER NORTH, COLORADO,

SAYING THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FORCED TO REGISTER WITH THE GOVERNMENT

AND COMPLY WITH BURDENSOME CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS SIMPLY FOR OPPOSING A

BALLOT ISSUE INVOLVING THE ANNEXATION OF THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. THE

RULING MEANS THAT GRASSROOTS POLITICAL ACTIVISTS IN COLORADO AND THE

OTHER STATES THAT COMPOSE THE TENTH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS CAN

SPEAK FREELY WITHOUT FEAR OF BEING SUED BY THEIR POLITICAL OPPONENTS.

THE STATE DID NOT APPEAL THE CASE TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. BOTH THE

DISTRICT COURT AND THE TENTH CIRCUIT RULED THAT WE WERE ENTITLED TO

ATTORNEYS FEES, WHICH WE RECOVERED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$425,000. THE

RULING IN THIS CASE IS YET ANOTHER IMPORTANT VICTORY IN IJ'S EFFORTS TO

PROTECT FREE SPEECH FROM GOVERNMENT-IMPOSED RESTRICTIONS IN THE GUISE

OF SO-CALLED CAMPAIGN FINANCE "REFORMS."

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

SPEECHNOW.ORG V. FEC

IN MARCH 2010, THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT STRUCK DOWN GOVERNMENT-IMPOSED RESTRICTIONS ON PARTICIPATION IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS, AND RULED-UNANIMOUSLY-THAT LIMITING THE AMOUNT OF MONEY OUR CLIENT, SPEECHNOW.ORG, COULD RAISE FROM ITS DONORS VIOLATED THE FIRST UNFORTUNATELY, THE COURT ALSO UPHELD THE FEDERAL AMENDMENT. REQUIREMENT THAT SPEECHNOW.ORG BECOME A PAC IN ORDER TO OPERATE AND MAKE INDEPENDENT EXPENDITURES. IN JULY 2010, WE FILED A PETITION FOR REVIEW BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ON THE PORTION OF THE CASE THAT WE THE COURT DENIED OUR PETITION, SO THE D.C. CIRCUIT'S DECISION STRIKING DOWN CONTRIBUTION LIMITS, BUT UPHOLDING THE PAC REQUIREMENT, IN TERMS OF REAL-WORLD IMPACT, IT IS DIFFICULT TO OVERSTATE STANDS. THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS CASE. THE CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE CALLED SPEECHNOW ONE OF THE TWO MOST IMPORTANT CAMPAIGN FINANCE CASES OF THE LAST 30 YEARS-THE OTHER BEING THE SUPREME COURT'S LANDMARK RULING IN CITIZENS UNITED V. FEC. SINCE THE D.C. CIRCUIT'S RULING, MORE THAN 70 SIMILAR GROUPS HAVE SPRUNG UP, WHICH COLLECTIVELY SPENT MORE THAN \$80 MILLION SPEAKING TO VOTERS IN THE HISTORIC 2010 ELECTIONS.

NEIGHBORHOOD ENTERPRISES V. CITY OF ST. LOUIS

IN A DOUBLE BLOW TO FREE SPEECH AND PROPERTY RIGHTS, THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS IS NOT ONLY THREATENING TO TAKE AN ENTIRE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT, BUT IT WANTS TO CENSOR A POWERFUL AND HIGHLY VISIBLE MURAL THAT WAS PUT UP TO PROTEST THE CITY'S EMINENT DOMAIN ABUSE AND BUILD SUPPORT FOR REFORM. FED UP WITH EMINENT DOMAIN ABUSE ACROSS MISSOURI-AND AGAINST RENTAL PROPERTIES HE OWNS AND MANAGES-JIM

Employer identification number 52-1744337

ROOS FOUGHT BACK. HE HAD A LARGE MURAL PAINTED ON HIS BUILDING AT 1806

S. 13TH STREET, IN A NEIGHBORHOOD TARGETED FOR REDEVELOPMENT. THE

MURAL PROTESTS THE CITY'S ABUSE AND ADVOCATES FOR STATEWIDE EMINENT

DOMAIN REFORM. BUT THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS WANTS THE MURAL TAKEN DOWN.

IJ ARGUES THAT IF THE FIRST AMENDMENT MEANS ANYTHING, IT MUST MEAN THAT

CITIZENS LIKE JIM ROOS HAVE THE RIGHT TO EFFECTIVELY PROTEST GOVERNMENT

ABUSE AND BUILD SUPPORT FOR MEANINGFUL REFORM-WITHOUT HAVING TO GET

GOVERNMENT APPROVAL. ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE EIGHTH U.S. CIRCUIT

COURT OF APPEALS WAS HELD IN FEBRUARY 2011 AND WE AWAIT A DECISION.

ROYALL V. MAIN, ET AL.

THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE REPRESENTS AUTHOR CARLA MAIN AND PUBLISHER

ENCOUNTER FOR CULTURE AND EDUCATION IN THEIR DEFENSE OF A DEFAMATION

ACTION FILED BY DALLAS-BASED DEVELOPER H. WALKER ROYALL OVER THE

CONTENTS OF MAIN'S BOOK, BULLDOZED: "KELO," EMINENT DOMAIN, AND THE

AMERICAN LUST FOR LAND. MAIN WROTE BULLDOZED TO DOCUMENT A CASE OF

EMINENT DOMAIN ABUSE IN FREEPORT, TEXAS. ROYALL WAS INVOLVED IN A DEAL

WITH THE CITY TO TAKE LAND FROM THE GORE FAMILY, WHICH HAS OWNED A

SHRIMP AND MARINE SUPPLY BUSINESS IN THE TOWN FOR GENERATIONS, AND GIVE

IT TO ROYALL FOR A LUXURY MARINA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT. ROYALL RESPONDED

TO MAIN'S BOOK BY SUING HER AND HER PUBLISHER. HE ALSO SUED LAW

PROFESSOR RICHARD EPSTEIN OVER A BLURB ON THE BACK COVER. IN MARCH

2009 WE WON THE DISMISSAL OF PROFESSOR EPSTEIN FROM THE LAWSUIT. THE

CASE WAS ARGUED IN SEPTEMBER 2010, AND WE AWAIT A DECISION.

EDWARDS V. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IJ CLIENTS TONIA EDWARDS AND BILL MAIN OWN SEGS IN THE CITY, WHICH PROVIDES FUN AND EDUCATIONAL SEGWAY TOURS OF OUR NATION'S CAPITAL. HOWEVER, BECAUSE THE DISTRICT MAKES IT ILLEGAL FOR ANYONE TO "GUIDE OR ESCORT" ANYONE ELSE FOR HIRE WITHOUT FIRST PASSING A TEST AND OBTAINING A SPECIAL LICENSE, TONIA AND BILL ARE CRIMINALS SUBJECT TO UP TO THREE MONTHS IN JAIL FOR MERELY TELLING THEIR CUSTOMERS THE BILL OF RIGHTS IS HOUSED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES. ALL BECAUSE OF CITY BUREAUCRACY, THEY DON'T HAVE THE FREEDOM TO DESCRIBE OUR NATION'S CHARTERS OF FREEDOM WITHOUT GOVERNMENT PERMISSION. IN SEPTEMBER 2010, WE FILED A FEDERAL CHALLENGE TO THE CITY'S TOUR-GUIDE LICENSING SCHEME ON THEIR BEHALF. THE CASE CURRENTLY IS PENDING IN TRIAL COURT IN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND SEEKS TO ADVANCE THE SAME FIRST AMENDMENT THEORY IJ HAS BEEN PURSUING IN ITS SPEECH-LICENSING CASES DATING BACK TO THE LATE 1990S WITH TAUCHER V. BORN, OUR SUCCESSFUL CHALLENGE TO THE COMMODITIES FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION'S ATTEMPT TO REQUIRE OUR CLIENTS TO OBTAIN A LICENSE BEFORE PUBLISHING GUIDES TO COMMODITIES TRADING. SIMPLY PUT, GOVERNMENT MAY NOT USE THE GUISE OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING TO IMPOSE BURDENS ON PEOPLE-LIKE INTERNET PUBLISHERS OR TOUR GUIDES-WHO EARN THEIR LIVING BY COMMUNICATING.

MANY CULTURES, ONE MESSAGE V. CLEMENTS

IN WASHINGTON STATE, WE REPRESENT TWO ORGANIZATIONS WITH DIVERSE POLICY

CONCERNS-MANY CULTURES, ONE MESSAGE AND CONSERVATIVE ENTHUSIASTS-EACH

FACING THE DILEMMA OF REGISTERING WITH THE GOVERNMENT OR HALTING THEIR

EFFORTS TO URGE THEIR FELLOW WASHINGTONIANS INTO POLITICAL ACTION. IF

ONE OF OUR CLIENTS, PAT MURAKAMI, SPENDS ABOVE A SMALL, STATE-IMPOSED

CAP TO URGE HER FELLOW CITIZENS TO CONTACT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, SHE IS

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

INFORMATION ABOUT HERSELF, HER GROUP, AND THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT HER.

IN OTHER WORDS, IF OUR CLIENTS SPEAK TOO MUCH ABOUT POLITICS, THE

GOVERNMENT WANTS TO KNOW ABOUT IT. MOREOVER, THE STATE DOES NOT MERELY

COLLECT THIS INFORMATION—IT MAKES IT AVAILABLE TO ANYONE WITH ACCESS TO

THE INTERNET. PEOPLE'S NAMES, ADDRESSES, BUSINESSES, AND OCCUPATIONS

ARE PROVIDED TO THE WORLD BECAUSE THEY DARED TO EXERCISE THEIR

FUNDAMENTAL FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. INCREASINGLY, AMERICANS IN THE 36

STATES THAT HAVE SIMILAR RULES DECIDE THE RISK AND BURDEN ARE TOO

GREAT, AND THE SAFER THING TO DO IS AVOID SPEAKING ALTOGETHER. THAT IS

WHY PAT AND THE REST OF OUR CLIENTS JOINED WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR

JUSTICE TO FILE THIS LEGAL CHALLENGE IN APRIL 2010. BRIEFING ON OUR

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT WAS COMPLETED IN MAY 2011 AND WE EXPECT A

RULING FROM THE JUDGE SHORTLY.

WORLEY V. ROBERTS

THIS IS THE LEAD CASE IN IJ'S NEW CITIZEN SPEECH INITIATIVE, WHICH

SEEKS TO EXPAND UPON OUR PREVIOUS LITIGATION AND THE U.S. SUPREME

COURT'S RULING IN CITIZENS UNITED V. FEC TO CREATE A RULE OF LAW UNDER

WHICH INDEPENDENT GROUPS OF CITIZENS CAN SPEAK FREELY ABOUT POLITICAL

CANDIDATES AND BALLOT ISSUES WITHOUT HAVING TO REGISTER WITH THE STATE

AND DEAL WITH ALL OF THE REGULATIONS THAT APPLY TO "POLITICAL

COMMITTEES." OUR CLIENTS ARE A GROUP OF THREE FLORIDA RESIDENTS WHO

WANTED TO URGE THE PUBLIC TO VOTE AGAINST PROPOSED AMENDMENT 4 TO THE

FLORIDA CONSTITUTION. IN DOING SO, THEY WANTED TO RUN A SIMPLE RADIO

AD. BUT UNDER FLORIDA LAW, IF THEY RAISED OR SPENT MORE THAN \$500 FOR

THEIR EFFORT, THEY WOULD BECOME A "POLITICAL COMMITTEE." THAT MEANS

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

THEY MUST REGISTER WITH THE STATE, APPOINT A TREASURER, ESTABLISH A

SEPARATE BANK ACCOUNT, AND REPORT TO THE STATE ALL ACTIVITY, INCLUDING

NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF CONTRIBUTORS. IF OUR CLIENTS DON'T OBEY THE

RULES, OR IF THEY MAKE A MISTAKE, THEY FACE FINES OF UP TO \$1,000 OR

EVEN A YEAR IN JAIL. WE FILED SUIT IN SEPTEMBER 2010 AND EXPECT ORAL

ARGUMENT THIS SUMMER.

FARRIS V. SEABROOK

IN WASHINGTON STATE, THE GOVERNMENT LIMITS TO \$800 CONTRIBUTIONS TO
CAMPAIGNS TO RECALL ELECTED OFFICIALS. THE RECALL DALE WASHAM CAMPAIGN
COMMITTEE FORMED TO SEEK A RECALL ELECTION FOR THE PIERCE COUNTY
AUDITOR, WHO IS SEVERELY ABUSING HIS STAFF AND OFFICE. THE STATE
CAMPAIGN ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THREATENED THE CAMPAIGN WITH PROSECUTION
FOR ACCEPTING MORE THAN \$800 WORTH OF PRO BONO LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN ITS
EFFORT TO SATISFY THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENT THAT THE SPONSOR OF A
RECALL PROVE ADEQUATE GROUNDS IN COURT THAT A RECALL VOTE IS WARRANTED.
WHILE THE STATE DID NOT ULTIMATELY PROSECUTE THE CAMPAIGN, IT WARNED
THE CAMPAIGN THAT IT MUST COMPLY WITH THE CONTRIBUTION LIMIT. BECAUSE
RECALL CAMPAIGNS DO NOT IMPLICATE CORRUPTION OR THE THREAT OF
CORRUPTION, THERE IS NO GOVERNMENTAL JUSTIFICATION IN LIMITING
CONTRIBUTIONS TO THEM. WE FILED THE CASE IN JUNE 2011 AND WILL
IMMEDIATELY SEEK AN INJUNCTION PREVENTING THE STATE FROM GOING AFTER
OUR CLIENTS WHILE THE CASE IS PENDING.

GILLILAND, ET AL. V. CITY OF DALLAS

COMMERCIAL WINDOW SIGNAGE. ANY SIGNS IN THE UPPER TWO-THIRDS OF ANY
WINDOW, AND ALL SIGNS THAT COVER MORE THAN 15 PERCENT OF ANY WINDOW ARE
FORBIDDEN. THIS RESTRICTION HAS NO HEALTH OR SAFETY JUSTIFICATION, BUT
UNNECESSARILY STIFLES THE ABILITY OF MANY SMALL BUSINESSES TO ATTRACT
NEW AND EVEN RETURNING CUSTOMERS. THIS CENSORSHIP FALLS HARDEST ON
SMALL BUSINESSES FOR WHOM RETAIL SIGNS ARE THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE
WAY-AND OFTEN THE ONLY WAY-TO REACH CUSTOMERS WITH NEWS ABOUT PRODUCTS,
SERVICES, AND SPECIALS. THE COURT DENIED OUR MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION AND ALLOWED THE CITY TO PROCEED WITH \$300,000 IN
COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST OUR CLIENTS, ALLEGEDLY FOR \$1,000/DAY IN NUISANCE
VIOLATIONS DUE TO OUR CLIENTS' SIGNS REMAINING UP WHILE THE MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION WAS PENDING. THE THREAT OF THESE CRIPPLING
PENALTIES CAUSED OUR CLIENTS TO WANT TO SETTLE THE LAWSUIT, WHICH WE
DID. WE DROPPED THE CASE ON JUNE 7.

TAIT V. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

THANKS TO THIS IJ LAWSUIT, TOUR GUIDES IN PHILADELPHIA ARE FREE TO

SPEAK WITHOUT FIRST HAVING TO GET THE GOVERNMENT'S PERMISSION. OUR

CLIENTS WERE THREE PHILADELPHIA TOUR GUIDES CHALLENGING A CITY

ORDINANCE THAT MADE IT ILLEGAL TO GIVE TOURS OF THE CITY WITHOUT FIRST

PASSING A TEST AND OBTAINING A LICENSE. AT TRIAL, THE CITY MADE A

STRENUOUS EFFORT TO PERSUADE THE JUDGE TO DISMISS THE CASE AS NO LONGER

"RIPE" FOR ADJUDICATION, ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE CITY'S

FINANCIAL-CRISIS-DRIVEN BUDGET PROBLEMS MEANT THAT IT WOULD NOT BE ABLE

TO ENFORCE THE LAW ANYTIME IN THE NEAR FUTURE (EVEN THOUGH IT

ABSOLUTELY INTENDS TO ENFORCE THE LAW AT SOME POINT). THE JUDGE AGREED

WITH THEM, DISMISSING THE CASE IN AUGUST 2009. THE THIRD U.S. CIRCUIT

Employer identification number 52-1744337

COURT OF APPEALS UPHELD THAT RULING IN EARLY 2011, SO THE CASE IS NOW

OVER. WHILE THE CITY'S SURRENDER PREVENTED US FROM OBTAINING THE FIRST

AMENDMENT PRECEDENT WE HAD SOUGHT, OUR LITIGATION ENSURED THAT OUR

CLIENTS AND OTHER TOUR GUIDES IN PHILADELPHIA REMAIN FREE FOR NOW TO

SPEAK ABOUT SITES LIKE THE LIBERTY BELL AND INDEPENDENCE HALL WITHOUT

FIRST HAVING TO GET PERMISSION FROM THE GOVERNMENT.

WAG MORE DOGS V. ARTMAN

IJ REPRESENTS A NEW PET BOARDING AND GROOMING BUSINESS NAMED WAG MORE DOGS IN ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. AS PART OF ITS PLANNED OPENING, WAG MORE DOGS COMMISSIONED A PAINTING TO BEAUTIFY THE NEIGHBORING DOG PARK AND TO ENGENDER GOODWILL WITH THE PARK'S PATRONS. BUT THE COUNTY STATED THAT THE PAINTING WAS AN ILLEGAL SIGN BECAUSE IT "DIRECTED" PEOPLE TO WAG MORE DOGS'DOOR AND HAD A "RELATIONSHIP" WITH THE BUSINESS. AS A RESULT, THE COUNTY DELAYED WAG MORE DOGS' OPENING, FORCED IT TO COVER THE PAINTING WITH A TARP, AND TOLD IT TO EITHER PAINT OVER THE MURAL OR CHANGE ITS MESSAGE. WE TEAMED UP WITH WAG MORE DOGS' OWNER KIM HOUGHTON TO FILE SUIT IN DECEMBER 2010 ARGUING THAT THE COUNTY'S DEFINITION FOR WHAT IS A SIGN IS A VAGUE AND CONTENT-BASED RESTRICTION ON SPEECH IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT. THE CASE IS ON APPEAL TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT, AND WE CURRENTLY ARE IN COURT-ORDERED MEDIATION, WITH NO BRIEFING SCHEDULE SET AS YET. WITH THIS CASE, KIM IS WAGING A FIGHT TO VINDICATE NOT ONLY HER OWN RIGHT TO FREE EXPRESSION, BUT ALSO THE RIGHTS OF OTHER SMALL BUSINESSES WHO MUST CONTINUALLY FACE SEEMINGLY ALL-POWERFUL GOVERNMENT REGULATORS WHO ARBITRARILY AND ABUSIVELY WIELD THEIR AUTHORITY.

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2	(2010)
------------------------------------	--------

Page 2

Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

OTHER PROPERTY RIGHTS CASES

STATE OF TEXAS V. ONE 2004 CHEVROLET SILVERADO

CIVIL FORFEITURE IS A GROWING THREAT TO THE PROPERTY RIGHTS OF ALL UNDER CIVIL FORFEITURE, POLICE CAN SEIZE YOUR HOME, CAR, AMERICANS. CASH, OR OTHER PROPERTY UPON THE MERE SUSPICION THAT IT HAS BEEN USED OR INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY-NO ARREST OR CONVICTION REQUIRED. OUR FIRST LAWSUIT TO ADDRESS THIS ABUSE IS IN TEXAS, WHERE WE REPRESENT HOUSTON SMALL BUSINESSMAN ZAHER EL-ALI, WHO SOLD A TRUCK TO A MAN WHO PAID HIM ON CREDIT, BUT ALI HELD THE TITLE TO THE CAR UNTIL HE WAS PAID THE PURCHASER WAS FOUND GUILTY IN JULY 2009 OF DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED, AND TEXAS POLICE SEIZED THE CAR AND FILED A CIVIL FORFEITURE ACTION: STATE OF TEXAS V. ONE 2004 CHEVROLET SILVERADO. EVEN THOUGH ALI STILL HOLDS THE TITLE TO THE CAR AND HAS NEVER BEEN ACCUSED OF BREAKING ANY LAWS, HE IS REQUIRED TO PETITION THE COURT TO GET HIS TRUCK BACK. IN THE COURT PROCEEDINGS, THE BURDEN IS ON HIM, NOT THE GOVERNMENT, TO TRY TO GET HIS RIGHTFULLY OWNED PROPERTY BACK, BECAUSE WITH CIVIL FORFEITURE, YOUR PROPERTY IS GUILTY UNTIL YOU CAN PROVE IT INNOCENT. WE FILED OUR LEGAL CHALLENGE IN APRIL 2010 AND CURRENTLY ARE PREPARING AN APPEAL TO THE 14TH COURT OF APPEALS IN HOUSTON.

VAN METER V. TURNER

IN GEORGIA, LIKE MANY OTHER STATES, ABUSE OF CIVIL FORFEITURE LAWS IS

RAMPANT. BUT AT LEAST GEORGIA HAS A LAW IN PLACE THAT REQUIRES LOCAL

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES TO ANNUALLY ITEMIZE AND REPORT TO THEIR LOCAL

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

GOVERNING AUTHORITIES ALL PROPERTY OBTAINED THROUGH FORFEITURE AND WHAT
THEY DID WITH IT. AMAZINGLY, HOWEVER, MANY-PERHAPS MOST-LOCAL LAW
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES FAIL TO ISSUE THESE REPORTS. THAT'S WHY THE
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TEAMED UP IN MARCH WITH FIVE GEORGIA CITIZENS TO
FILE A LAWSUIT TO FORCE THE HEAD OFFICERS FROM THREE LOCAL LAW
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES—THE ATLANTA POLICE DEPARTMENT, FULTON COUNTY
POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND FULTON COUNTY SHERIFF—TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW.
WE WON A QUICK VICTORY WHEN ALL THREE DEFENDANTS AGREED TO DISCLOSE
THEIR TAKINGS. AS THE JUDGE IN THE CASE SAID, "THE BOTTOM LINE IS YOU
GOT THEIR ATTENTION AND THEY'RE GOING TO START ACCOUNTING." WHILE OUR
VICTORY IS IMMEDIATELY LIMITED TO THE THREE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES WE
BROUGHT SUIT AGAINST, NEWS OF THE CASE IS SPREADING TO OTHER AGENCIES
RESPONSIBLE FOR REPORTING FORFEITURES AND WILL PLAY A MAJOR ROLE IN
FORCING THEM TO RESPECT THE PROPERTY RIGHTS OF INNOCENT CITIZENS.

MCCAUGHTRY V. CITY OF RED WING (FORMERLY STEWART, ET AL. V. CITY OF RED WING)

IN DECEMBER 2010, THE MINNESOTA SUPREME COURT AGREED TO HEAR AN

IMPORTANT IJ CASE THAT EXAMINES WHEN CITIZENS MAY CHALLENGE RENTAL

INSPECTION LAWS THAT CITIES ARE ACTIVELY ENFORCING AGAINST THEM. THE

CASE ARISES OUT OF RED WING, MINNESOTA, WHERE THE CITY IS ENFORCING A

RENTAL PROPERTY INSPECTION LAW THAT REQUIRES LANDLORDS AND TENANTS TO

OPEN THEIR DOORS AND SUBMIT TO INSPECTIONS OF THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY IN

ORDER FOR THE LANDLORD TO RECEIVE A LICENSE TO RENT THE PROPERTY. IN

2009, THE CASE WAS DISMISSED ON STANDING. THE LOWER COURTS

CONCLUDED-IMPLAUSIBLY-THAT NEITHER THE LANDLORDS NOR TENANTS WERE IN

IMMINENT DANGER OF HAVING THEIR RIGHTS VIOLATED BY THE CITY, GIVING

Employer identification number 52-1744337

THEM NO "STANDING" TO SUE. BUT THANKS TO IJ, LANDLORDS AND TENANTS

HAVE A CHANCE TO FIGHT AGAINST THESE UNREASONABLE SEARCHES. THE

HEARING WILL HAVE STATEWIDE IMPLICATIONS AND IS EXPECTED TO DETERMINE

IF TENANTS AND LANDLORDS MUST WAIT UNTIL A GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL IS

LITERALLY KNOCKING AT THEIR DOOR BEFORE THEY MAY CHALLENGE THE

CONSTITUTIONALITY OF INSPECTION LAWS. ORAL ARGUMENT WAS HELD ON MAY 3,

2011, AND WE EXPECT A DECISION BY THE END OF AUGUST.

OTHER ECONOMIC LIBERTY CASES

GUTIERREZ V. AUNE

WE FILED OUR LATEST CASE IN IJ'S CAMPAIGN TO RESTORE ECONOMIC LIBERTY AS A FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT IN JUNE 2011 ON BEHALF OF EYEBROW THREADERS IN ARIZONA. THREADING IS A NATURAL AND SAFE METHOD OF HAIR REMOVAL THAT USES A SINGLE STRAND OF COTTON THREAD TO REMOVE UNWANTED HAIR, MOST COMMONLY FROM THE EYEBROWS, WITH NO CHEMICALS, DYES, HOT WAX OR SHARP OBJECTS. BUT STATE OFFICIALS NOW REQUIRE THREADERS TO OBTAIN AN AESTHETICIAN LICENSE, WHICH REQUIRES AT LEAST 600 HOURS OF CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION-NOT ONE HOUR OF WHICH TEACHES OR TESTS THREADING-AND THAT CAN COST OVER \$10,000. THREADERS DO NOT NEED FULL-BLOWN COSMETOLOGY TRAINING. THE COSMETOLOGY BOARD'S IRRATIONAL APPLICATION OF ARIZONA'S LICENSING SCHEME DOES NOTHING TO PROTECT CONSUMERS. INSTEAD, IT PROTECTS LICENSED COSMETOLOGISTS FROM COMPETITION AND PUTS MORE MONEY IN THE COFFERS OF THE COSMETOLOGY SCHOOLS. A VICTORY WILL PUT OTHER STATES ON NOTICE THAT THEY CAN'T USE THE COERCIVE POWER OF GOVERNMENT TO KEEP OUT COMPETITION FOR FAVORED INDUSTRY INSIDERS.

Employer identification number 52-1744337

LOCKE V. SHORE

AFTER SUCCESSFUL LAWSUITS CHALLENGING OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING OF INTERIOR DESIGNERS IN NEW MEXICO, TEXAS, CONNECTICUT, AND OKLAHOMA, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE FILED SUIT IN FLORIDA, THE STATE WITH BY FAR THE MOST RESTRICTIVE AND AGGRESSIVELY ENFORCED LAW IN THE COUNTRY. ONLY THREE OTHER STATES REGULATE THE ACTUAL PRACTICE OF INTERIOR DESIGN (AS OPPOSED TO SIMPLY REGULATING WHO CAN CALL THEMSELVES INTERIOR DESIGNERS). WE CHALLENGE LAWS LIKE THESE BECAUSE, AS INDICATED ABOVE, RATHER THAN PROTECTING PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY-AS SUCH LAWS ARE SUPPOSED TO DO-THEY SIMPLY PROTECT INDUSTRY INSIDERS FROM COMPETITION. THE RESULT IS HIGHER PRICES AND LESS CHOICE FOR CONSUMERS, WHILE KEEPING NEWCOMERS OUT. USING OUR STRATEGIC COMBINATION OF LITIGATION, MEDIA, ACTIVISM, AND STRATEGIC RESEARCH, WE WON A PARTIAL VICTORY IN FEBRUARY 2010, FOR WHICH WE SOUGHT AND RECOVERED \$50,000 IN ATTORNEYS' FEES. FOR THE PART OF THE CASE THAT WE LOST, WE APPEALED TO THE 11TH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, WHICH ALSO RULED AGAINST US. FILE OUR PETITION FOR REVIEW WITH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT LATER THIS SUMMER.

CHAUVIN V. STRAIN

IN PERHAPS THE MOST ARBITRARY EXAMPLE OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING, THE

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE THIS YEAR DISMANTLED LOUISIANA'S

ONLY-IN-THE-NATION LAW REQUIRING LICENSURE OF FLORISTS. PRIOR TO IJ'S

INVOLVEMENT, THE STATE REQUIRED ASPIRING FLORISTS TO PASS BOTH A

WRITTEN EXAM AND A HIGHLY SUBJECTIVE DEMONSTRATION EXAM GRADED BY THE

APPLICANTS' FUTURE COMPETITION. THE LAW NOT ONLY MADE IT DIFFICULT FOR

032212

O32212

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

NEW ENTREPRENEURS TO WORK AS RETAIL FLORISTS, IT ALSO GAVE EXISTING FLORISTS THE POWER TO PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM NEW COMPETITION. WERE NO PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY JUSTIFICATIONS FOR TESTING FLORISTS. THERE ALSO WERE NO VALID "CONSUMER PROTECTION" OR "INDUSTRY ENHANCEMENT" RATIONALES. LOUISIANA'S FLORAL LICENSING REGIME WAS ECONOMIC PROTECTIONISM FOR EXISTING FLORISTS, PLAIN AND SIMPLE. SO WE FILED A LAWSUIT IN MARCH 2010 TO STRIKE DOWN THE LAW. THE FOLLOWING WEEK, OUR CLIENTS WERE ON JOHN STOSSEL'S PROGRAM ON FOX BUSINESS CHANNEL AND ALSO WERE PROFILED IN A FEATURE PIECE IN USA TODAY. THANKS TO THE PRESSURE IJ EXERTED IN COURT AND THE COURT OF PUBLIC OPINION. THE LOUISIANA LEGISLATURE PASSED A LAW THAT ENSURES THAT BUREAUCRATS NO LONGER HAVE THE POWER TO ARBITRARILY CHOOSE WHO MAY OR MAY NOT BECOME A FLORIST. THE GOVERNOR SIGNED THE BILL INTO LAW IN JULY 2010 SO THE CASE IS NOW CLOSED.

BOKHARI V. METRO. GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

ANOTHER RECENT FIGHT TO PROTECT ECONOMIC LIBERTY TAKES US TO NASHVILLE,

TENNESSEE, WHERE LOCAL GOVERNMENT-SUPPORTED BY ESTABLISHED LIMOUSINE

COMPANIES-RECENTLY PASSED A SERIES OF ANTI-COMPETITIVE REGULATIONS

AIMED AT INDEPENDENT LIMO AND SEDAN COMPANIES. UNTIL 2010, SEDAN AND

INDEPENDENT LIMO SERVICES WERE AN AFFORDABLE ALTERNATIVE TO TAXICABS.

BUT THESE NEW LAWS FORCE SEDAN AND INDEPENDENT LIMO COMPANIES TO

INCREASE THEIR FARE MINIMUM, PROHIBIT THEM FROM USING LEASED VEHICLES,

AND FORBID THEM FROM WAITING FOR CUSTOMERS AT PUBLIC PLACES, AMONG

OTHER RESTRICTIONS. AS A RESULT, A NUMBER OF SMALL TRANSPORTATION

BUSINESSES HAVE ALREADY GONE OUT OF BUSINESS, WHILE MANY OTHERS ARE

UNDER A CONSTANT THREAT OF BEING RUN OFF THE ROAD. LUCKILY, IN APRIL,

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

032212

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TEAMED UP WITH THREE NASHVILLE ENTREPRENEURS

TO FILE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT TO VINDICATE THE RIGHT OF NASHVILLE'S LIMO

AND SEDAN OPERATORS TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING FREE FROM EXCESSIVE

GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE. THE LAWSUIT WILL ILLUSTRATE HOW THESE NEW

REGULATIONS DO NOTHING BUT PROTECT A GROUP OF POLITICALLY FAVORED

INSIDERS FROM COMPETITION.

CASTENEDA V. CITY OF EL PASO

IN JANUARY, IJ LAUNCHED A NEW NATIONWIDE LITIGATION AND ACTIVISM EFFORT TO VINDICATE THE RIGHT OF STREET VENDORS TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING, WHICH IS ALREADY EXPERIENCING ENORMOUS SUCCESS. STREET VENDING IS A CLASSIC ENTRYWAY TO ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND IS MORE POPULAR THAN EVER, BUT MANY CITIES ARE ERECTING BARRIERS THAT MAKE IT DIFFICULT, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, TO SET UP SHOP. EL PASO, TEXAS, BECAME THE LATEST CITY TO DO THAT WHEN IT MADE IT ILLEGAL FOR MOBILE FOOD VENDORS TO OPERATE WITHIN 1,000-FEET OF ANY RESTAURANT, CONVENIENCE STORE, OR GROCER. CITY EVEN PROHIBITED VENDORS FROM PARKING TO AWAIT CUSTOMERS, WHICH FORCED VENDORS TO CONSTANTLY DRIVE AROUND TOWN UNTIL A CUSTOMER SUCCESSFULLY FLAGGED THEM DOWN-AND THEN BE ON THE MOVE AGAIN AS SOON AS THE CUSTOMER WALKED AWAY. EL PASO'S NO-VENDING ZONE SCHEME WAS IN PLACE FOR ONE REASON: TO PROTECT BRICK-AND-MORTAR RESTAURANTS FROM HONEST COMPETITION. THAT IS WHY FOUR MOBILE VENDERS TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO FILE THIS FEDERAL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY OF EL PASO CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ITS ECONOMIC PROTECTIONISM AND TO FIGHT FOR THEIR RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING. IN RESPONSE TO THE SUIT, THE EL PASO CITY COUNCIL QUICKLY PASSED A NEW ORDINANCE ELIMINATING ITS REGULATIONS, THEREBY SECURING THE RIGHTS OF OUR CLIENTS AND MANY OTHER

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010) Page 2 **Employer identification number** Name of the organization 52-1744337 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE ENTREPRENEURS LIKE THEM. WE VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED THE LAWSUIT. BHANDARI V. NILSESTUEN IJ SEEKS TO VINDICATE THE RIGHTS OF RAJ BHANDARI, A GAS STATION OWNER IN MERRILL, WISCONSIN, WHO FOUND HIMSELF FACING POSSIBLY THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN FINES FOR THE CRIME OF OFFERING DISCOUNTS ON GASOLINE-A VIOLATION OF THE STATE'S MINIMUM-MARKUP LAW, WHICH REQUIRES THAT RETAIL GASOLINE BE SOLD FOR AT LEAST 9.18 PERCENT OVER THE LOCAL AVERAGE WHOLESALE COST. NOT LONG AFTER AN UNFAVORABLE DECISION FROM THE TRIAL COURT, A FEDERAL TRIAL COURT IN ANOTHER CASE ISSUED A VERY WELL-REASONED OPINION ENJOINING THE STATE FROM ENFORCING THE RELEVANT PROVISIONS OF THE LAW ON FEDERAL ANTITRUST GROUNDS. THE SEVENTH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RECENTLY DISSOLVED THE FEDERAL INJUNCTION, WHICH MEANS OUR CASE (WHICH HAD BEEN STAYED DUE TO THE PENDING FEDERAL LITIGATION) IS ONCE AGAIN LIVE. THE APPEAL CURRENTLY IS BEING BRIEFED, AND WE EXPECT A DECISION IN LATE 2011 OR EARLY 2012. PATEL V. TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATION SIMILAR TO THE ARIZONA EYEBROW THREADING CASE MENTIONED ABOVE, IN DECEMBER 2009, WE FILED SUIT AGAINST TEXAS' ATTEMPTS TO REGULATE AS COSMETOLOGY THE PRACTICE OF EYEBROW THREADING. THE GOVERNMENT'S

POSITION WOULD REQUIRE EYEBROW THREADERS, PREDOMINANTLY INDIAN IMMIGRANTS, TO OBTAIN BETWEEN 750 AND 1,500 HOURS OF WESTERN-STYLE COSMETOLOGY TRAINING (NONE OF IT RELEVANT TO THREADING) AT A COST OF APPROXIMATELY \$15,000. AS WITH MOST LICENSING LAWS, THIS ONE HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY AND EVERYTHING TO DO WITH Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

Employer identification number 52-1744337

PROTECTING INSIDERS FROM COMPETITION. OUR CLIENTS INCLUDE SMALL

BUSINESS OWNERS AND EYEBROW THREADERS WHO HAVE BEEN FINED \$2,000 AND

TOLD THAT THEY MUST STOP WORKING UNTIL THEY OBTAIN COSMETOLOGY

LICENSES. THE CASE CURRENTLY IS ON APPEAL IN STATE COURT.

CLAYTON V. STEINAGEL

IN UTAH, IF YOU WANT TO BRAID HAIR FOR A LIVING, YOU MUST SUBMIT YOURSELF TO A COMPLETELY IRRATIONAL LICENSING SCHEME TO GET PERMISSION FROM THE GOVERNMENT BEFORE YOU ARE ALLOWED TO WORK. OUR CLIENT, JESTINA CLAYTON, A COLLEGE GRADUATE, WIFE, MOTHER OF TWO AND REFUGEE FROM SIERRA LEONE'S CIVIL WAR HAS BEEN BRAIDING HAIR FOR MOST OF HER LIFE. NOW SHE WANTS TO USE HER CONSIDERABLE SKILLS TO HELP PROVIDE FOR HER FAMILY WHILE HER HUSBAND FINISHES HIS EDUCATION. BUT THE STATE OF UTAH SAYS SHE MAY NOT BE PAID TO BRAID UNLESS SHE FIRST SPENDS THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS ON 2,000 HOURS OF GOVERNMENT-MANDATED COSMETOLOGY TRAINING-NOT ONE HOUR OF WHICH ACTUALLY TEACHES HER HOW TO BRAID HAIR. JESTINA PLED HER CASE TO UTAH'S LICENSING BOARD AND TO UTAH LEGISLATORS TO NO AVAIL. NO ONE SHOULD HAVE TO HIRE A LAWYER OR LOBBYIST JUST TO BRAID HAIR. THAT IS WHY, IN APRIL 2011, IJ FILED A LAWSUIT IN FEDERAL COURT TO VINDICATE THE RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING AS AN ESSENTIAL PART OF OUR NATION'S PROMISE OF OPPORTUNITY.

BERGMANN V. CITY OF LAKE ELMO

THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE CHALLENGED A BAN PREVENTING FARMERS IN LAKE

ELMO, MINNESOTA, FROM SELLING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS THAT ARE NOT GROWN

IN LAKE ELMO. IT HAS LONG BEEN UNDERSTOOD THAT A STATE OR LOCAL LAW

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

THAT ALLOWS SALES OF LOCAL GOODS BUT FORBIDS OUT-OF-STATE OR OUT-OF-TOWN GOODS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THE CITY JUSTIFIED THE RESTRICTION BY ARGUING THAT THIS IS A LAND-USE ISSUE, NOT A CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE. OUR RESPONSE IS THAT DISCRIMINATION IS DISCRIMINATION, EVEN IF THE GOVERNMENT ATTEMPTS TO WRAP IT INSIDE A ZONING LAW. OUR CLIENTS WERE THE BERGMANN FAMILY AND THREE OUT-OF-STATE FARMERS. THE BERGMANNS HAVE SOLD NON-LAKE ELMO PUMPKINS AND CHRISTMAS TREES, INCLUDING PUMPKINS THEY GROW ON LAND THEY OWN IN WISCONSIN, FROM THEIR FARM FOR OVER 25 YEARS. THE OUT-OF-STATE FARMERS ARE FROM NORTH CAROLINA, NEBRASKA, AND WISCONSIN. THEY SELL THE BERGMANNS PUMPKINS AND CHRISTMAS TREES, WHICH ARE THEN RESOLD TO THE FOLLOWING OUR INITIAL VICTORY BEFORE THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE, THE CITY ADOPTED AN ORDINANCE TO ALLOW FARMERS TO SELL GOODS NOT GROWN ON THE PREMISES VIA A PERMIT. ALL INDICATIONS ARE THAT OUR CLIENTS WOULD QUALIFY FOR SUCH A PERMIT, SO WE DISMISSED THE CASE AND DECLARED YET ANOTHER VICTORY FOR ENTREPRENEURS AND CONSUMERS AGAINST OVERWEENING GOVERNMENT.

FRANCO V. SAN JUAN COUNTY

THIS WAS A WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO A SAN JUAN COUNTY VENDING ORDINANCE ON BEHALF OF GARY FRANCO, A LONGTIME PRODUCE THE ORDINANCE, PASSED AT THE BEHEST OF POLITICALLY CONNECTED BRICK-AND-MORTAR BUSINESSES, REQUIRED VENDORS TO OBTAIN A GOVERNMENT PERMIT AND PAY \$50 PER DAY FOR THE RIGHT TO EARN THEIR LIVING AND RECEIVE THE CONSENT OF COMPETING BRICK-AND-MORTAR BUSINESSES. THE COUNTY MAINTAINED THAT REQUIRING A PERMIT TO VEND WAS NECESSARY TO PROTECT PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, IT DIDN'T FORCE ALL VENDORS TO OBTAIN 032212 01-24-11

A PERMIT. RATHER, IT CARVED OUT EXEMPTIONS FOR ITS OWN FAVORED

CATEGORIES OF VENDORS-FOR EXAMPLE, FARMERS WHO SOLD THEIR OWN PRODUCE;

ICE CREAM TRUCKS; AND NONPROFIT AND CHARITABLE GROUPS, SUCH AS THE

LIONS CLUB OR KIWANIS. VENDORS LIKE GARY POSED NO MORE OF A PROBLEM

THAN THESE FAVORED, EXEMPT VENDORS, YET THEY WERE STILL REQUIRED TO PAY

THE GOVERNMENT AND OBTAIN THE BLESSING OF THEIR COMPETITORS IN ORDER TO

EARN AN HONEST LIVING. DURING THE COURSE OF THE LITIGATION, THE COUNTY

ADOPTED A NEW ORDINANCE WHICH, IN SOME RESPECTS, WAS EVEN WORSE THAN

THE ORIGINAL. FRUSTRATED BY HIS INABILITY TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING IN

HIS OWN COUNTRY, GARY MOVED TO EUROPE, WHERE, IRONICALLY, HE HAS FOUND

GREATER ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY. IN LIGHT OF THE ORDINANCE AND GARY'S

MOVING OVERSEAS, IJ-WA VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED THE CASE IN SEPTEMBER

2010.

MITZ V. TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

THIS IS A CHALLENGE TO A DECISION BY THE TEXAS BOARD OF VETERINARY

MEDICAL EXAMINERS TO OUTLAW HORSE TEETH FLOATING BY NON-VETERINARIANS

IN TEXAS, DESPITE HAVING SPECIFICALLY RECOGNIZED AND APPROVED THE

PRACTICE FOR MANY YEARS. "FLOATING" IS THE TERM FOR FILING HORSES'

TEETH TO ENSURE PROPER LENGTH AND ALIGNMENT. UNLIKE MOST ANIMALS,

HORSES' TEETH GROW THROUGHOUT THEIR LIVES. THEIR TEETH MUST BE FILED

DOWN EVERY 6-12 MONTHS TO PREVENT THEIR MOLARS FROM DEVELOPING LONG

ENAMEL "POINTS" THAT CAN PREVENT THEM FROM CHEWING FOOD PROPERLY. FOR

CENTURIES, THE PRACTICE HAS BEEN PERFORMED BY SPECIALIZED "TEETH

FLOATERS," WHOSE KNOWLEDGE OF EQUINE DENTISTRY OFTEN FAR EXCEEDS THAT

OF VETERINARIANS. THE COURT ULTIMATELY HELD THAT THE VET BOARD

VIOLATED THE RULEMAKING PROCESS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT,

Employer identification number 52-1744337

RESULTING IN A VICTORY FOR OUR CLIENTS.

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

RIFE V. TEXAS PRIVATE SECURITY BOARD

IN THIS CASE WE ELIMINATED TEXAS' REQUIREMENT THAT COMPUTER REPAIR TECHNICIANS BECOME LICENSED PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS. BEFORE IJ GOT INVOLVED, STATE LAW DICTATED THAT IF YOU PERFORM CERTAIN REPAIRS WITHOUT A PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSE, OR IF YOU AS A CONSUMER HAVE YOUR COMPUTER REPAIRED BY SOMEONE WITHOUT A LICENSE, YOU CAN BE PUNISHED BY A \$4,000 FINE AND ONE YEAR IN JAIL AS WELL AS A \$10,000 TO OBTAIN AN INVESTIGATOR'S LICENSE, AN INDIVIDUAL MUST CIVIL PENALTY. COMPLETE EITHER A CRIMINAL JUSTICE DEGREE OR A THREE-YEAR APPRENTICESHIP UNDER A LICENSED INVESTIGATOR. THEREFORE, COMPUTER REPAIR COMPANIES WOULD HAVE HAD TO EITHER CLOSE FOR THREE YEARS TO COMPLETE THE APPRENTICESHIP, OR RISK SEVERE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL SANCTIONS IF THEY CONTINUED WORKING. WHILE THE LAWSUIT WAS PENDING, THE TEXAS LEGISLATURE AMENDED THE LAW TO EXEMPT OUR CLIENTS AND OTHER COMPUTER REPAIR COMPANIES, SO WE DISMISSED THE CASE. WE SOUGHT \$33,076 IN FEES, BUT OUR MOTION WAS DENIED.

OTHER SCHOOL CHOICE CASES

LARUE V. COLORADO BOARD OF EDUCATION

THE DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, BOARD OF EDUCATION RECENTLY ENACTED A

PILOT SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAM TO DETERMINE WHETHER PROVIDING GREATER

CHOICE TO PARENTS YIELDS BENEFITS FOR STUDENTS. THE SCHOOL DISTRICT

OFFERS MODEST SCHOLARSHIPS TO UP TO 500 STUDENTS THAT ENABLE THEIR

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

Employer identification number 52-1744337

PARENTS TO SEND THEM TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS AT A FRACTION OF THE COST OF

EDUCATING THEM IN THE DOUGLAS COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS. ON JUNE 28, THE

ACLU, AMERICANS UNITED FOR SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE, AND SEVERAL

COLORADO ORGANIZATIONS AND TAXPAYERS SUED THE SCHOOL BOARD, SCHOOL

DISTRICT, COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, AND THE STATE SCHOOL BOARD

IN TWO SEPARATE LAWSUITS TO STOP THE PROGRAM. IJ INTERVENED TWO DAYS

LATER REPRESENTING FOUR FAMILIES WHO INTEND TO USE THE SCHOLARSHIPS FOR

THEIR CHILDREN. NOT ONLY IS THE DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAM

CONSTITUTIONAL, AS CASE LAW MAKES CLEAR, IT ALSO MAKES FISCAL SENSE,

SAVING TAXPAYERS MONEY THEY WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE TO SPEND TO EDUCATE

EACH CHILD IN THE PROGRAM.

OTHER CASES

FLYNN V. HOLDER

IN A CASE DEALING WITH LIFE AND DEATH, WE REPRESENT DOREEN FLYNN WHO

HAS THREE DAUGHTERS WITH A DEADLY BLOOD DISEASE WHO WILL MOST LIKELY

NEED BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTS IN THEIR TEENS. LIKE COUNTLESS THOUSANDS

OF OTHERS, DOREEN'S KIDS' LIVES DEPEND ON FINDING A COMPATIBLE

BONE-MARROW DONOR-A ONE-IN-A-MILLION GENETIC MATCH. MORE THAN A

THOUSAND PEOPLE DIE EACH YEAR BECAUSE THEY CAN'T FIND A MATCHED DONOR,

BUT, INCREDIBLY, UNDER THE NATIONAL ORGAN TRANSPLANT ACT OF 1984,

FEDERAL LAW MAKES IT ILLEGAL TO DO THE ONE THING THAT WOULD MAKE IT

EASIER TO RECRUIT DONORS: COMPENSATE THEM. FLYNN, ALONG WITH CANCER

PATIENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES, A RENOWNED BONE MARROW DOCTOR, AND THE

CALIFORNIA NONPROFIT MOREMARROWDONORS.ORG, JOINED WITH THE INSTITUTE

FOR JUSTICE IN OCTOBER 2009 TO SUE THE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO STRIKE

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990 EZ) (2010)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
DOWN THE LAW. WE CURRENTLY ARE ON APPEAL TO THE NINTH U.	S. CIRCUIT
COURT OF APPEALS. A VICTORY WILL PUSH COURTS TO LOOK AT	LAWS WITH A
PRESUMPTION IN FAVOR OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY, WHICH IS RELE	VANT TO CASES
IN ALL OF IJ'S FOUR PILLARS OF LITIGATION.	
AMICUS	
IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED CASES, THE INSTITUTE F	OR JUSTICE
ALSO FILED AMICUS BRIEFS IN THE FOLLOWING CASES BETWEEN J	ULY 1, 2010
AND JUNE 30, 2011:	
CUSHING V. MCKEE	
FAMILY PAC V. MCKEE	
FOX V. VICE	
IN THE MATTER OF OPENING A PRIVATE ROAD FOR THE BENEFIT OF	F TIMOTHY P.
O'REILLY	
STATE OF WASHINGTON V. MCCLEARY	
WISCONSIN PROSPERITY NETWORK, INC. V MYSE	

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2010)

032212 01-24-11

Asset No	Description	Date Acquired	Method	Lıfe	Line No	Unadjusted Cost Or Basis	Bus % Excl	Reduction In Basis	Basis For Depreciation	Accumulated Depreciation	Current Sec 179	Current Year Deduction
		VARIES	SL	.000	16	809,194.			809,194.	572,672.		0.
2		VARIES	SL	.000	16	313,551.			313,551.	247,026.		0.
3		VARIES	SL	.000	16	830,525.			830,525.	715,376.		0.
4	CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS	VARIES	SL	.000	16	76,808.			76,808.			o.
	* TOTAL 990 PAGE 10 DEPR					2,030,078.		0.	2,030,078.	1,535,074.	0.	0.
						, ,			,	, ,		
•											1	
								;				
							. ,					

028102 05-01-10

(D) - Asset disposed

* ITC, Section 179, Salvage, Bonus, Commercial Revitalization Deduction

Form **8868**

(Rev. January 2011)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Application for Extension of Time To File an Exempt Organization Return

File a separate application for each return.

OMB No. 1545-1709

Form 8868 (Rev. 1-2011)

If yo	ou are filing for an Automatic 3-Month Extension, complet	e only Pa	rt I and check this box		▶	X		
• If yo	ou are filing for an Additional (Not Automatic) 3-Month Ext	ension, c	complete only Part II (on page 2 of this	form).				
Do no	t complete Part II unless you have already been granted a	n automat	tic 3-month extension on a previously fil	ed For	m 8868.			
Electr	onic filing (e-file). You can electronically file Form 8868 if y	ou need a	3-month automatic extension of time to	o file (6	months for a corpo	ration		
equire	ed to file Form 990-T), or an additional (not automatic) 3-mor	nth extens	ion of time. You can electronically file F	om 88	368 to request an ex	tension		
of time	e to file any of the forms listed in Part I or Part II with the exc	eption of	Form 8870, information Return for Tran	sfers A	Associated With Cer	taın		
Perso	nal Benefit Contracts, which must be sent to the IRS in paper	er format ((see instructions). For more details on th	ne elec	tronic filing of this fo	orm,		
ısıt w	ww.irs gov/efile and click on e-file for Chanties & Nonprofits.							
Par	Automatic 3-Month Extension of Time	Only su	bmit original (no copies needed).					
4 corp	poration required to file Form 990-T and requesting an autom	natic 6-mo	onth extension - check this box and com	plete	- ···			
Part I	only				•			
	er corporations (including 1120-C filers), partnerships, REMI income tax returns.	ICs, and ti	rusts must use Form 7004 to request an	exten	sion of time			
_	ype or Name of exempt organization Employer idea							
print	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	2-1744337						
File by t due date filing yo	$_{\rm e}$ for Number, street, and room or suite no. If a P.O. box, security 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, NO. 9		tions.					
return S instructi	6ee		ress, see instructions.					
Enter	the Return code for the return that this application is for (file	a separa	te application for each return)			0 1		
Appli	cation	Return	Application			Return		
ls For		Code	Is For		Code			
Form		01	Form 990-T (corporation)		07			
	990-BL	02	Form 1041-A			08		
	990-EZ	03	Form 4720		09			
	990-PF	04	Form 5227			10		
	990-T (sec 401(a) or 408(a) trust)	05	Form 6069			11		
	990-T (trust other than above)	06	Form 8870			12		
Tel ● Ift	STEVEN ANDERSON e books are in the care of ▶ 901 NORTH GLEBE lephone No. ▶ 703-682-9320 the organization does not have an office or place of business	E RD,	FAX No. ►	•	>			
• If t	his is for a Group Return, enter the organization's four digit (
	I request an automatic 3-month (6 months for a corporation FEBRUARY 15, 2012 , to file the exempt is for the organization's return for:	required t	to file Form 990-T) extension of time unt	ıl		for.		
	calendar year or X tax year beginning _JUL 1, 2010, and ending _JUN 30, 2011							
2	If the tax year entered in line 1 is for less than 12 months, check reason: Initial return Final return Change in accounting period							
	If this application is for Form 990-BL, 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, on nonrefundable credits. See instructions.	or 6069, e	nter the tentative tax, less any	3a	\$	0.		
	If this application is for Form 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069,	enter any	refundable credits and	30	_			
	estimated tax payments made. Include any prior year overp	3ь	\$	0.				
	Balance due. Subtract line 3b from line 3a. Include your pa	•		1				
	by using EFTPS (Electronic Federal Tax Payment System).	-		3с	s	0.		
	on. If you are going to make an electronic fund withdrawal w							

LHA

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see Instructions.